# NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING 

## of the <br> Board of Directors of SOMERSET ACADEMY OF LAS VEGAS

Notice is hereby given that the Board of Directors of Somerset Academy of Las Vegas, a public charter school, will conduct a public meeting on March 6, 2021 beginning at 8:00 a.m. at 6630 Surrey St., Las Vegas, NV 89119. The public is invited to attend. Those attending in person must wear a mask. Attendance will be limited according to Governor Sisolak's most current directive.

Attached hereto is an agenda of all items scheduled to be considered. Unless otherwise stated, the Board Chairperson may 1) take agenda items out of order; 2) combine two or more items for consideration; or 3) remove an item from the agenda or delay discussion related to an item.

Reasonable efforts will be made to assist and accommodate physically handicapped persons desiring to attend or participate at the meeting. Any persons requiring assistance may contact Dena Thompson at (702) 431-6260 or dena.thompson@academicanv.com two business days in advance so that arrangements may be conveniently made.

If you would like copies of the meeting agenda, support materials or minutes, please visit the school's website at https://www.somersetacademyoflasvegas.com For copies of meeting audio, please email dena.thompson@academicanv.com

Public comment may be limited to three minutes per person at the discretion of the Chairperson. Please email dena.thompson@academicanv.com to submit or sign up for public comment.

## AGENDA

March 6, 2021 Strategic Planning Meeting of the Board of Directors of Somerset Academy of Las Vegas

A College Prep School
Cultivating Effective Leaders, Good Character and a Desire to Render Service
We prepare students to excel in academics and attain knowledge through life-long learning by dedicating ourselves to providing equitable, high-quality education for all students. We promote a culture that maximizes student achievement and fosters the development of accountable 21st Century learners in a safe and enriching environment.
(Action may be taken on those items denoted "For Possible Action")

1. Call to order and roll call (For PossibleAction)
2. Public Comment and Discussion (No action may be taken on a matter raised under thisitem of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action will betaken.)
3. Approval of Minutes from the February 2, 2021 Board Meeting (For Possible Action)
4. Report on Goals (For Possible Action)
a. All Schools to be 4 or 5 Stars by September 2022
b. Utilize Character and Leadership Programs in the Schools by 2021-2022
c. Become Financially Sound to Facilitate Increasing Teacher Pay and Facility Maintenance
5. Data Executive Summary from Jessica Barr (For Possible Action)
6. Discussion Regarding the Fourth Quarter Academic Plan (For Possible Action)
7. Discussion Regarding Academic Impact on Classroom Ratio (For Possible Action)
8. Discussion Regarding Somerset Academy Administrative Leadership Structure (For Possible Action)
9. 10 Year Anniversary Discussion (For Possible Action)
10.Member Comment (Information/Discussion)
11.Public Comment and Discussion (No action may be taken on a matter raised under thisitem of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action will betaken.)
12.Adjournment (For Possible Action)

This notice and agenda has been posted on or before 9 a.m. on the third working day before the meeting at the following locations:
(1) 385 W. Centennial Parkway, North Las Vegas, Nevada 89084
(2) 7038 Sky Pointe Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89131
(3) 50 N. Stephanie St., Henderson, Nevada 89074
(4) 4650 Losee Road, North Las Vegas, Nevada 89081
(5) 4491 N. Rainbow Blvd., Las Vegas, Nevada 89108
(6) 6475 Valley Dr., North Las Vegas, Nevada 89084
(7) 8151 N. Shaumber Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89166
(8) North Las Vegas City Hall, 2250 Las Vegas Blvd. North, North Las Vegas, Nevada.
(9) Henderson City Hall, 240 South Water Street, Henderson, Nevada.
(10) Las Vegas City Hall, 495 S. Main St., Las Vegas,Nevada.
(11) notices.nv.gov

## SOMERSET ACADEMY OF LAS VEGAS <br> Supporting Document

Meeting Date: March 6, 2021
Agenda Item: 3 - Approval of Minutes from the February 2, 2021 Board Meeting
Number of Enclosures: 1

## SUBJECT: Approval of Minutes

X Action
Appointments
Approval
Consent Agenda
Information
Public Hearing
Regular Adoption

| Presenter (s): Board |
| :--- |
| Recommendation: |
| Proposed wording for motion/action: <br> Move to approve the minutes of the February 2, 2021 board meeting. <br> Fiscal Impact: N/A <br> Estimated Length of time for consideration (in minutes): 2 Minutes <br> Background: A board meeting was held on February 2, 2021. As such, the <br> minutes will need to be approved for this meeting. <br> Submitted By: Staff |

# MINUTES <br> of the meeting of the BOARD OF DIRECTORS of SOMERSET ACADEMY OF LAS VEGAS 

February 2, 2021

Board of Directors of Somerset Academy of Las Vegas held a public meeting on February 2, 2021 at 6:00 p.m. at 7038 Sky Pointe Drive, Las Vegas, NV 89131 and via Zoom webinar.

## 1. Call to Order and Roll Call

Board Chair John Bentham called the meeting to order at 6:09 p.m. In attendance were Board members LeNora Bredsguard, Sarah McClellan, John Bentham, Travis Mizer, Will Harty, Cody Noble, and Renee Fairless.

Also present were Principal Lee Esplin, Principal Cesar Tiu, Principal Jessica Scobell, Principal Elaine Kelley, Principal Kate Lackey, Principal Christina Threeton, Interim Principal Renae Notaro and Assistant Principal Geri Wagner. Academica representatives Marla Devitt, Ryan Reeves, and Gary McClain were also in attendance.

## 2. Public Comment

There was no public comment.

## 3. Review and Approval of Suspension of Officer Term Limits for One Year

Member Bentham stated that it was proposed that, because of COVID, the Board approve the suspension of officer term limits for one year.

Member Fairless moved to suspend term limits for this year. Member Bredsguard seconded the motion, and the Board voted to approve with a vote of six to one, with Member Harty voting in opposition.

## 4. Re-Election of Board Members John Bentham, Sarah McClellan, and Travis Mizer

Member Noble moved to re-elect John Bentham, Sarah McClellan, and Travis Mizer to the Somerset Academy of Las Vegas Board of Directors. Member Harty seconded the motion, and the Board voted unanimously to approve.

## 5. Annual Election of Board Officers

Member Bentham stated that the Board would need to elect the officer positions for the Board of Directors. He noted that the current officers were Member Bentham serving as the Board Chair, Member McClellan serving as the Board Vice-Chair, Member Mizer serving as the Board Treasurer, and Member Bredsguard serving as the Board Secretary. With the approval of the suspension of officer term limits, all current officers were eligible to be re-elected to their current positions.

Member Noble nominated the currently sitting Chair, Vice-Chair, Secretary, and Treasurer to be elected to their current office. Member McClellan seconded the nomination, and the Board voted unanimously to approve.

## 6. Consent Agenda

a. Minutes from the November 18, 2020 Board Meeting and the November 27, 2020 Emergency Board Meeting
b. Approval of Recommendations from the Finance Committee:

1. School Financial Performance
2. Acceptance of CSP Grant Funds for Aliante and Sky Canyon
3. Approval of the Grade-Level Maximum Enrollment for the 2021/2022 School Year

Member Harty stated that item 6.b. 3 would be pulled for discussion by the Board.
Member Harty moved to approve the consent agenda presented, with the exception of b.3. Member Noble seconded the motion, and the Board voted unanimously to approve.

Member Harty stated that he had recommended that the enrollment item be discussed by the Board instead of the Finance Committee. Mr. Ryan Reeves addressed the Board and stated that the enrollment discussion was a more difficult discussion this year due to pandemic related budget concerns. When the Board approved the increase from 25 to 26 students it was with the understanding that it would be changed back to 25 in the future. Mr. Reeves stated that, with the shortfall in State revenues, the per student funding was uncertain; adding that it was recommended that Somerset maintain the current student to teacher ratio for the 2021/2022 school year. Member McClellan asked for clarification on the grades with the extra student. Mr. Reeves explained that the increase varied from campus to campus; adding that the enrollment numbers had been discussed with each principal in preparation for the upcoming lottery.

Principal Kate Lackey addressed the Board and stated that, because it was important to provide raises for the faculty, she would support maintaining the current enrollment numbers. Members Harty stated that it was important to provide raises to the teachers; however, tying salaries to school enrollment could incentivize increased class sizes. Discussion ensued regarding the budget surplus, days cash on hand, and the uncertainty of per student funding. Principal Lee Esplin addressed the Board and stated that if budgets were cut it would also affect the programs the campuses were able to offer; adding that his main concern was providing learning and opportunities for the students.

Member Bredsguard asked if Somerset had increased class size before and if the Board would discuss decreasing the class size for the next school year. Member McClellan noted that it had increased
before at some campuses and in some grades; however, it had reverted back the following year. Member Harty noted that the Board was being asked to approve the proposed class size for the 2021/2022 school year, which would maintain the previously approved increase for the 2020/2021 school year. Further discussion ensued regarding the budget and surplus. The Board requested a discussion regarding classroom size be included in the upcoming strategic planning meeting.

Member Mizer moved to maintain the higher enrollment for the coming year. Member McClellan seconded the motion, and the Board voted unanimously to approve.

## 7. Academica Progress Reports, Campus Recognition and Updates

Principal Christina Threeton addressed the Board and reviewed the mid-year projections as contained in the handout. The elementary school was predicted to be double the previous year and was trending to a 3 Star school. The middle school was trending to a strong 4 Star school. She noted that the staff and administration continued to work hard and were moving the opportunity gaps. Principal Threeton stated that North Las Vegas had switched from an a.m./p.m. schedule to 8:00 to 12:30. Instructional minutes had increased by 450 per week. Member Bentham asked if the Saturday school that had been implemented last year had been a factor in the increase. Principal Threeton replied that only two sessions of Saturday school were held before the shutdown. She explained that, with the current schedule, the teachers had one full day when the students were in specials. The full day allowed time for administrators to meet with teachers and analyze the data.

Member McClellan asked about the distance education learners schedule. Principal Threeton stated that all students were in class from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. with teachers teaching in person and online at the same time. Member Noble asked about the data difference between online and in person students. Principal Threeton replied that she did not currently have data that differentiated between online and in person; however, they would pull that data after the MAP testing was compete. Member McClellan asked if students were taking assessments at home or at school. Principal Threeton explained that online students took assessments online and in person students took assessments in person; adding that the reliability scores were really good for the campus.

Assistant Principal Geri Wagner addressed the Board and recognized student Alexandra LeVanway. The president of CSN had presented Ms. LeVanway with a special certificate for making the presidential list and achieving straight A's while taking an extraordinary amount of credits. Assistant Principal Wagner also recognized the following dual enrollment students who had achieved straight A's: Nevaeh Holland, Caleb LeMaster, Ian Macapagal, Savannah Martin, Delisha Patel, Alize Petculescu, Alexa Pullarkat, Alyanna Rubiales, Amalie Smith, Elizabeth Tohme, Madison Voss, Galena White, Patrick Loeung. Assistant Principal Wagner stated that the dual credit program had over 60 applicants. She noted that an ACT boot camp would be held to prepare the students to take the test. Criminal Justice would be added to the CTE program. Assistant Principal Wagner announced that graduation was scheduled for May $24^{\text {th }}$ at 10:00 a.m. at the Centennial Hills Amphitheater. Member Noble noted that he had two students at the high school who had recently returned to in person school; adding that they love school.

Principal Esplin stated that Sky Pointe now had K-12 back on campus. He had met with Rebecca Feiden, from the Charter Authority, and was able to obtain waivers for Skye Canyon, Sky Pointe and Losee to increase to $45 \%$ in person. To ensure that the seniors met the graduation milestones, they would be returning to four days per week. Other sub-populations would also be returning as room permited. Principal Esplin noted that the MAP testing was recently completed. The $5^{\text {th }}$ grade testing showed very strong growth. Member Bentham asked about outreach for incoming $9^{\text {th }}$ grade students. Principal Esplin explained that, although it was more challenging this year, they were working with the feeder schools to encourage enrollment at Sky Pointe. Member Mizer asked if teachers were taking the opportunity to be vaccinated, to which Principal Esplin replied that the teachers were provided the information and many had received the vaccinations.

Principal Shannon Manning addressed the Board and stated that in her first month she had been able to meet with every teacher and staff member one on one. They had discussed culture and concerns as they got to know each other. Principal Manning stated that $4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ grades were brought on campus for in person learning on January $25^{\text {th }}$. There were four in person cohorts and three virtual cohorts. The $4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ grades were departmentalized with about 15 students per class. Middle school students would return to campus on February $16^{\text {th }}$. Prior to the return to campus the classes were departmentalized with smaller class sizes.

Principal Manning stated that she had met with Ms. Jessica Barr three times to review the data. $4^{\text {th }}$ grade, $5^{\text {th }}$ grade, and special education were areas of concern. She noted that they were exploring adding more i-Ready intervention, implementing a Saturday school, and Monday through Thursday after school tutoring hours. Principal Manning stated that a new $4^{\text {th }}$ grade teacher was hired and Jacquelyn Johnson had taken on the role of curriculum coach. Ms. Johnson was pulling data, targeting interventions and working with the teachers. Principal Manning had coordinated with Principal Scobell to have Losee AP students visit the Aliante campus to strengthen the feeder line to Losee. She further stated that an honors track would be introduced for the 2021/2022 school year.

Principal Lackey stated that, with the return of middle school students, Skye Canyon had K-8 on campus. Working in cooperation with Principal Esplin a waiver had been received from Ms. Feiden, with the Charter Authority, to receive a waiver to increase to $45 \%$. The waiver would allow an additional 100 students to return to in person learning. Member Noble asked if that would include all of the students who requested in person learning. Principal Lackey stated that some students would still request virtual students; however, more families were comfortable returning to campus.

Principal Lackey stated that the counseling department continued to work hard supporting the students, as well as the teachers. The counseling department activities included a peace week with activities every day. A random acts of kindness week would take place in February. Principal Lackey stated that the MAP testing had been completed and the scores showed growth at the campus. She noted that the kindergarten and $1^{\text {st }}$ grades had less growth; however, the data was more authentic and provided a better picture of the students. The Read by Grade 3 numbers were lower this year, indicating that the reading needs of the students were being met. She further stated that $100 \%$ of faculty and staff indicated an intention to return next year.

Principal Lackey stated that, with the recently awarded CSP grant funds, a math interventionist would be hired. Member Travis asked if the CSP grant was just for the Skye Canyon campus. Member McClellan asked if all of the campuses had applied for the CSP grant. Principal Lackey explained that the grant was for new charter schools. Member McClellan asked if the funds were earmarked for certain items, to which Principal Lackey replied in the affirmative. Principal Lackey stated that the funds would be used for salaries, curriculum, and technology. With the previous CSP funds that had been received fourteen Chromebook carts, with thirty Chromebooks each, were purchased. With the current funds an additional nine carts would be purchased. Member Noble asked how long a school was considered new and eligible for the funds. Mr. Reeves stated that the grant was typically available for years zero, one, and two.

Interim Principal Renae Notaro addressed the Board and stated that all students K-8 who requested were able to be on campus for in person learning. The a.m. cohort had 297 students in person and 203 students online. The p.m. cohort had 282 students in person and 181 online. Member Noble asked if the school was able to accommodate all the students who had requested in person learning. Interim Principal replied that if they reached the maximum they would request a waiver. Member Harty asked if the maximum allowed was overall or a count of students on the campus at any one time. Principal Esplin clarified that maximum percentage was based on the students on the campus at any given time.

Interim Principal Notaro stated that the i-Ready testing was complete and the Map testing would be complete by the end of the week. Based on the preliminary i-Ready data the students were doing much better in reading. $54 \%$ of students were at or above grade level in reading, an $11 \%$ increase over the fall assessment. $42 \%$ of the students were at or above grade-level in math, a $10 \%$ increase of the fall assessment. $50 \%$ of the $8^{\text {th }}$ grade students were meeting their typical growth goal for the year. Interim Principal Notaro outlined the discussions and plans developed during the recent data day. She noted that chronic absenteeism was a concern, with students logging and then not participating in the learning. She stated that reading tutoring groups, funded by the Henderson grant, had started on January $11^{\text {th }}$; adding that a math tutoring group would start soon.

Interim Principal Notaro stated that students would compete in the system-wide Battle of the Books. She recognized Kallysta Hayduke, a student who had been featured on the Fox 5 News. Ms. Hayduke was working with Robbie's Hope Foundation, an organization which supported teens who were struggling with thoughts of suicide, anxiety, and depression. Interim Principal Notaro concluded by noting that Ms. Mindy Paul had been selected as the Dean for the campus.

Principal Jessica Scobell addressed the Board and stated that the 7th grade ELA teacher, Mr. Lippitt was excited to announce that seven Losee $7^{\text {th }}$ grade students had medaled at the Springs Preserve sponsored Scholastic Arts Writing Competition. The three gold medal winners were Jayden Andregg in Humor, Kaylie Cossman in Poetry, and Mireya Trevino in Short Story. The four silver medal winners were Braunsen Ahlo in Humor, Jayden Andregg in Poetry, Kaylie Cossman in Critical Essay, and Emily Holt in Poetry. Principal Scobell noted that Losee had more medalists than any other school in the county. She stated that Mr. Lippitt had worked with the students, who were all virtual at the time of the competition, to review and revise their work. Principal Scobell stated that graduation would be held on Thursday, May $27^{\text {th }}$ at 5:00 p.m. at the Craig Ranch Amphitheater. The venue would allow the students to be celebrated while maintaining socially distance protocols.

Principal Scobell stated that Losee had K-8 on campus. The level of interest in returning to in person was not as high as most other Somerset campuses, with $30-40 \%$ of each grade level choosing to return. The a.m. cohort would be in person and the p.m. cohort would be virtual. She stated that the $9^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students would return to campus on February $16^{\text {th }}$. Principal Scobell stated that Losee recently held an AP night. Two AP classes were added for the 2020/2021 school year. For the 2021/2022 school year AP European History would be added, and potentially AP Environmental Science. That would bring the total to 12 AP classes in the high school.

Principal Scobell stated that the i-Ready assessments in elementary were almost complete. The MAP testing in elementary, and all testing in middle and high school were complete. The data was being compiled in preparation for meeting with Ms. Barr. Principal Scobell noted that the $4^{\text {th }}$ grade had been departmentalized mid-year last year. It could be stated, with $100 \%$ certainty, that $4^{\text {th }}$ grade had seen more growth than any other grade since the change. She concluded by stated that the campus still had a garden and had partnered with a local co-op to sell the garden items online. Member Bentham asked about outreach to the feeder school $8^{\text {th }}$ grade students. Principal Scobell stated that Principals Manning and Threeton had been contacted regarding the AP classes. The AP Coordinator would be scheduling an AP night for the North Las Vegas and Aliante students. Principal Scobell added that more outreach would be done in the spring.

Principal Cesar Tiu addressed the Board and stated that $98 \%$ of the students had completed the iReady reading and math diagnostics. He noted that the average time on task for the students was between 56-58 minutes. The i-Ready academic team had developed an incentive system for the students who met their i-Ready usage expectations. The admin team had met with Ms. Barr to review the i-Ready and MAP data. She provided a student target spreadsheet along with a video to assist the teachers in using the spreadsheet and targeting the students.

Principal Tiu noted that Lone Mountain would compete with Aliante and Stephanie in a virtual Battle of the Books. One of the $5^{\text {th }}$ grade teachers had an entry in the National School Choice Week photo contests. The campus also participated in the National School Choice Week official dance. Principal Tiu stated that the Lone Mountain annual leadership conference was scheduled for May $5^{\text {th }}$. The campus participated in the Great Kindness Challenge and was ow a certified kindness school. Principal Tiu stated that before the winter break the K-5 students were on campus two days per week. After the break they moved to 4 days per week. The $6^{\text {th }}$ grade had started the previous week, with $7^{\text {th }}$ grade next week, and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade on February $22^{\text {nd }}$. Principal Tiu concluded by thanking Member Bentham for the Speedway Charity donation.

## 8. Review and Possible Action to Submit a Waiver Request to SPCSA/Governor to Increase InPerson Capacity

Mr. Reeves stated that the current capacity set by the State Public Charter School Authority (SPCSA) was $40 \%$ of student enrollment on campus at any given time. As a charter school, Somerset was under the SPCSA authority and the Governor expected that any requests for waivers would be brought through the Charter Authority. Member Noble stated that Somerset was working with two sets of limitations, one from the Governor and one from the Charter Authority. He asked if the Charter Authority
had the ability to waive the Governor's 50\% capacity limitation. Mr. Reeves replied that the school could approach the Governor through the Charter Authority. Mr. Reeves explained that the Charter Authority had started with a $25 \%$ enrollment capacity, which was increased to $40 \%$. The Charter Authority would revisit the limit during the February $22^{\text {nd }}$ board meeting. He noted that the Charter Authority had responded with a waiver within 24 hours of the request for two Somerset campuses. Mr. Reeves stated that Somerset should use their voice in upcoming meetings between the Authority and school leaders to press for increased enrollment.

Member Bentham asked if it would be beneficial for the Board to vote on increasing capacity. Mr. Reeves stated that the voice of the Board had been made clear to the Charter Authority, noting that Somerset was the largest charter school in the State of Nevada and had set an example throughout the pandemic. Member Noble stated that with different limitations Somerset would have more possible structures to bring students back to campus, and asked what the Board could do to address easing the Governor's 50\% capacity limit. Member Fairless stated that the SPCSA had been excellent with waivers as long as the schools had a plan in place; adding that the Authority preferred a graduated plan with the school showing success at a limit before allowing a higher percentage. She noted that Mater Academy East campus had a 55\% limit. Member Fairless stated that the most effective way to increase capacity limits would be to show success at the current levels and gradually increase. She also acknowledged that some directives were conflicting among agencies. Discussion ensued regarding the possibility of requesting a waiver on the Governor's limit to increase the in person options. Mr. Reeves noted that the Governor's Pause was set to expire soon and a new directive should be issued which might have an increased capacity for schools.

## 9. Review and Approval of Somerset Academy Academic Calendar for the 2021/2022 School Year

Principal Esplin reviewed the calendar, including the professional development days, the parent teacher conference, and the data half days. He noted that the calendar included no school for Friday, November $12{ }^{\text {th }}$ due to Veterans day falling on a Thursday. He further noted that the winter break and last day of school were scheduled to facilitate an easy transition if snow days were needed.

Member McClellan moved to approve the 2021/2022 school year calendar, as presented. Member Harty seconded the motion, and the Board voted unanimously to approve.

## 10. Approval of the Restorative Justice Policy

Principal Esplin stated that the policy was created by a committee consisting of assistant principals, lead by Assistant Principal Nicole Jones, working in conjunction with Mr. Michael Muehle from Academica. The committee reviewed restorative justice plans from other systems and then adjusted the progressive discipline program to align with restorative justice. When a mistake was made by a student a plan would be built and presented during a meeting with the parents, creating a paper trail if further discipline was needed. Principal Esplin noted that one significant change was the addition of a report of education neglect for truancy: adding that a letter from CPS often reopened the communication with
families. Member Noble asked if the letter would help in cases of chronic absenteeism, to which Principal Esplin replied in the affirmative. Member Mizer asked how the policy was disseminated and if there was training planned. Principal Esplin stated that each campus would be doing training to ensure that the teachers understood the restorative justice plan and procedure. Principal Scobell stated that restorative justice training had been included during the 2020 spring professional development day at the Losee campus; adding that the teachers were trained in restorative circles and restorative practices.

Member Harty moved to approve the Restorative Justice Policy, as presented. Member Bredsguard seconded the motion, and the Board voted unanimously to approve.

## 11. Review and Approval of Principal Search Parameters for Stephanie Campus for 2021/2022 School Year

Mr. McClain stated that a committee could be formed consisting of Somerset administrators and Somerset Inc. administrators to interview candidates after a three-week period to seek candidates. The committee would bring back two candidates to the Board. Following discussion regarding the number of candidates to be presented to the Board the decision was made to bring back two or more.

Member Noble moved to have the principal search committee bring forth two or more candidates to the Board. Member Bredsguard seconded the motion, and the Board voted unanimously to approve.

Member Noble stated that if the committee did not find qualified candidates they should extend the search.

## 12. Discussion and Possible Action to Approve the District Membership Agreement with Somerset Academy Inc.

This item was tabled.

## 13. Discussion Regarding Board Meeting Schedule and Strategic Planning Meeting Schedule

Mr. McClain stated that the tentative Board meeting schedule for the remainder of the school year had meetings on April $6^{\text {th }}$ and June $1^{\text {st }}$. Member McClellan noted that June $1^{\text {st }}$ was the week after the end of school when families traveled and asked if the meeting could be changed. Member Bentham suggested changing the date to May $18^{\text {th }}$, which would be before finals.

Member Bentham stated that a strategic planning meeting should be held within the next sixty days. Principal Threeton stated that the principals were already planning for the 2021/2022 school year. When directives are given during the strategic planning meeting, the principals sometime had to move backwards. She requested that future strategic planning meetings be held in January or February. Following discussion regarding available dates the decision was made to schedule the meeting for March $6^{\text {th }}$.

## 14. Academica Announcements and Notifications

Mr. Reeves stated that legislative session had started. Academica would keep the Board informed about any relevant bills. Mr. McClain thanked Sky Pointe for hosting the meeting.

## 15. Member Comment

Member McClellan thanked all involved with getting students back on campus and asked the principals to continue to disperse information about vaccinations to all those who teachers and staff who desired to be vaccinated.

Member Bentham echoed Member McClellan’s comment regarding vaccines. He noted that sports had been canceled for the remainder of the year and asked the principals to look into an intramural sports program. Member Bentham stated that he had received messages from several parents about difficulty navigating Infinite Campus.

Member Harty stated that he would also like to see an intramural sports program. He thanked the principals for working to return the students to in person learning.

Member Bredsguard concurred with all the previous comments.
Member Mizer stated that he was happy to hear the reports of teachers returning, noting that teacher retention was an important part of creating stability for the students.

Member Fairless thanked all the principals, noting that it had been a tough but successful year.

## 16. Public Comment and Discussion

There was not public comment.

## 17. Adjournment <br> The meeting was adjourned at 8:57 p.m.

## Approved on:

$\qquad$

## Secretary of the Board of Directors <br> Somerset Academy of Las Vegas

## SOMERSET ACADEMY OF LAS VEGAS Supporting Document

Meeting Date: March 6, 2021
Agenda Item: 4 - Report on Goals
a. All Schools to be 4 or 5 Stars by September 2022
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## SOMERSET ACADEMY ALIANTE

Reading \% of Students at Grade Level


## Math \% of Students

 at Grade Level


Fall 2020
Winter 2020

- At Risk for Tier 3

19\%
e Tier 2
31\%

- Tier 1

50\%
Comprehension: Informational Text (INFO)
Phonics (PH)
High-Frequency Words (HFW)
Vocabulary (VOC)
omprehension: Literature (LIT)


Q Mid or Above Grade

31\% 9\%
9\%










## Grades 4-5 Pooled AGP

## AGP by Grade



AGP Met 47.9\%


## Grades 6-8 Pooled AGP

## AGP by Grade




## Grades 4-5 Pooled GAP

## GAP by Grade

57\%


AGP Met 43\%

## Grades 6-8 Pooled GAP

GAP by Grade


75

AGP Met
46.5\%


- At Risk for Tier 3

17\%
Number and Operations (NO)

- Tier 2

Algebra and Algebraic Thinking (ALG)
46\%
Measurement and Data (MS)
Geometry (GEO)

| 17\% | 20\% |  |  | 46\% | 10\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | TPI |
| Mid or Above Grade Level | - Early On Grade Level | - One Grade Level Below | Two Grade Levels Below | $\theta$ | Three or More Grade Levels Below |
| 184 Students | 222 Students | 505 Students | 106 Students |  | 84 Students |









Grades 4-5 Pooled AGP

AGP Met 30.8\%

AGP Not Met
69.2\%


## Grades 6-8 Pooled AGP

AGP by Grade
100

AGP Met 35.8\%

75

50

25


## Grades 4-5 Pooled GAP

AGP Met
28.9\%

GAP by Grade


## Grades 6-8 Pooled GAP

GAP by Grade

AGP Met 30.2\%

75

50


## Potential TSI Trigger

| Subgroup | N Size ELA Proficiency | ELA <br> Proficiency | N Size Math Proficiency | Math Proficiency | N Size ELA AGP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { AGP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size <br> Math AGP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MATH } \\ & \text { AGP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size ELA GAP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { GAP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math GAP | MATH GAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | n/a | 1 | 0.0 |
| Asian | 15 | 60.0 | 15 | 33.3 | 13 | 53.8 | 13 | 38.4 | 6 | 16.6 | 5 | 40.0 |
| Black | 42 | 47.6 | 42 | 7.1 | 29 | 48.2 | 29 | 17.2 | 19 | 36.8 | 25 | 16.0 |
| Hispanic | 135 | 37.7 | 137 | 11.6 | 89 | 37.0 | 91 | 16.4 | 62 | 35.4 | 63 | 17.4 |
| Multirace | 40 | 55.0 | 40 | 25.0 | 25 | 52.0 | 25 | 24.0 | 8 | 37.5 | 14 | 21.4 |
| Pacific Islander | 18 | 61.1 | 19 | 21.0 | 10 | 40.0 | 11 | 45.4 | 5 | 40.0 | 5 | 60.0 |
| White | 122 | 55.7 | 126 | 38.0 | 81 | 58.0 | 83 | 50.6 | 37 | 64.8 | 46 | 50.0 |
| EL | 19 | 10.5 | 19 | 15.7 | 13 | 15.3 | 13 | 15.3 | 11 | 18.1 | 11 | 9.0 |
| SPED | 70 | 22.8 | 72 | 11.1 | 42 | 38.0 | 43 | 18.6 | 37 | 35.1 | 37 | 16.2 |

*Please be aware, this graph does not include potential TSI triggers for the following groups/categories:
MGP, FRL, WIDA, Chronic Absenteeism, or RBG3



## Potential TSI Trigger

| Subgroup | N Size ELA <br> Proficiency | ELA <br> Proficiency | N Size Math Proficiency | Math Proficiency | N Size ELA AGP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { AGP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math AGP | MATH AGP | N Size ELA GAP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { GAP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math GAP | MATH GAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | 0 | n/a | 0 | n/a | 0 | n/a | 0 | n/a | 0 | n/a | 0 | n/a |
| Asian | 16 | 75.0 | 16 | 37.5 | 16 | 56.2 | 16 | 50.0 | 4 | 75.0 | 8 | 50.0 |
| Black | 52 | 53.8 | 53 | 20.7 | 52 | 50.0 | 53 | 28.3 | 31 | 38.7 | 39 | 20.5 |
| Hispanic | 116 | 45.6 | 119 | 21.8 | 116 | 45.6 | 119 | 33.6 | 70 | 48.5 | 93 | 30.1 |
| Multirace | 37 | 43.2 | 37 | 40.5 | 37 | 48.6 | 37 | 37.8 | 20 | 45.0 | 21 | 28.5 |
| Pacific Islander | 7 | 57.1 | 7 | 28.5 | 7 | 57.1 | 7 | 14.2 | 2 | 0.0 | 4 | 25.0 |
| White | 90 | 60.0 | 94 | 25.5 | 90 | 56.6 | 94 | 41.4 | 45 | 48.8 | 63 | 34.9 |
| EL | 9 | 33.3 | 10 | 0.0 | 9 | 33.3 | 10 | 30.0 | 7 | 42.8 | 9 | 33.3 |
| SPED | 34 | 0.0 | 36 | 2.7 | 34 | 14.7 | 36 | 11.1 | 33 | 15.1 | 36 | 11.1 |

*Please be aware, this graph does not include potential TSI triggers for the following groups/categories:
MGP, FRL, WIDA, Chronic Absenteeism, Academic Learning Plans, or 8th Grade Sufficiency


## $\square$ American Indian <br> Hispanic <br> White



100

75
$\stackrel{0}{8} 50$



| academic achievemint |  | $7 / 25$ Porints |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| math Proficiency | 22.6\% |  |
| ela Proficiency | 48.7\% |  |
| SCIENCE PROFICIENCY | 253\% Used 2018-19 eesulsa | as possible eidicicator |
| Pooled Proficiency | 33.\% | 4/20 Points |
| Read by Grade 3 | 424\% | 3/5 Points |
| student growit lonly sthe | grade reporced) | 6.535 Poism |
| MATH MGP | 30 | 1/10 Points |
| Elamgr | 36 | 2/10 Points |
| MATH AGP | 23.4\% | 17.5 Points |
| Ela AGP | 433\% | $2.5 / 7.5$ Points |
| enghish Language |  | 410 points |
| ELPA |  |  |
| CLOSING OPPORTUNTTY GAPS | (Only sth gecte reported) | 820 Pornis |
| MATH | 19\% | 2/10 POINTS |
| EliA | 40.9\% | 6/10 POINTS |
| student engagement nomen |  | A of io poluts |
| Chronic Absentelism | Will note | repored in $2200-21$ |



## Road to 5 Stars

## SOMERSET ALIANTE



Prepared by
ELAINE KELLEY, PRINCIPAL
\& ALIANTE ADMINISTRATION

## GOALS



1. To achieve 5 star status in the elementary school
2. To maintain 5 star status in the middle school

## ROAD TO 5 STARS



The destination: 5 star status at Somerset Aliante at the elementary and middle school levels. The roadmap to our destination is provided in this proposal and is based on analysis of our current status and trajectory. It should be noted that our proposal includes realistic, yet rigorous benchmark goals for the next 5 years but that these goals will need to be revisited each year upon receiving the results of state testing. Updates should also be considered when, and if, there are any dramatic shifts in student population (ex. an enrollment increase). Progress towards goals should be observed through monitoring student interim assessment data (i.e. NWEA Map, iReady, etc.)

## Current Status

Most recent star ratings and state testing performance (2018-2019 school year). A status update will be provided upon analyzing our Fall 2020 Interim assessment data.

## Elementary

## Middle School

What does my school rating mean?
Two-Star school: Identifies a school that has partially met the
state's standard for performance. Students and subgroups often meet state's standard for performance. Students and subgroups often meet
expectations for academic performance or growth but may have meltiple areas that require improvement. Areas requiring significant improvement are uncommon. The school must submit an
improvement plan that identifies supports tailored to subgroups and improvement plan that identifies su
indicators that are below standard.
How are school star ratings determined?
Schools receive points based on student performance across various
Indicators and Measures These points are totaled and divived by the
points possible to produce an index score from 1 -100. This index score is associated with a one- to five-star school rating.



Measure School Rate District Rate
97 N/A

How are star ratings determined based on total index
score? Below 27
At or above 27 but less than 50
At or above 50 and less than 67
At or above 67 and less than 84
At or above 84


## Academic Achievement

Below are performance benchmark goals for the next 5 years. Proficiency is historically the area that sees the smallest increases, even when coupled with high growth, especially at higher initial proficiency levels.

We do not know definitively what will happen with testing, but the following goals would be based on taking SBAC this Spring This would mean that academic achievement data would be available but growth/ratings would not be available until 2022.

N Next potential rated year

## Elementary ELA

100

75

*Though there is a 2 year gap between 2019 \& 2021, a minimal benchmark increase was selected for ELA \& Math. This is due to early research which anticipates an average academic loss of 1 instructional year for each student as a result of quarantine during the COVID-19 epidemic.

Elementary Science

## Elementary Math



75

50


54

## Growth Indicators

Student growth percentiles represent a Somerset student's growth compared to their academic peers across Nevada. The target median should not gradually increase but rather always strive to be greater than or equal to 65 .

Student growth indicators require 2 consecutive years of testing so the next likely year where this data would be received for SBAC would be in 2022. To track 2021 progress towards growth goals, interim data would need to be utilized.
= Next potential rated year

## Elementary ELA



## Elementary Math


$\geq 50=2021$ Math MGP Goal
$\geq 65=$ 2022-2024 Math MGP Goal
$=2019$ Math MGP

Adequate Growth Percentiles (AGP) reflects the percentage of students who hit their individual growth targets. Targets are based on either obtaining or maintaing proficiency. The higher the proficiency levels, the lower and more achieveable the targets.

## Elementary ELA



## Closing Opportunity Gaps

The Closing Opportunity Gaps indicator reflects the percentage of non-proficient students hitting their individual growth targets (AGP). Students do not necessarily have to achieve proficiency in order to hit their target, so rigorous improvements can be realistic goals.

## Elementary ELA



EL Proficiency


## Elementary Math

100

75
$50 \longrightarrow$


## Student Engagement

6.7\% = 2019 Chronic Absenteeism \%

$\leq 6 \%=2021$ Goal


## Academic Achievement

Below are performance benchmark goals for the next 5 years. Proficiency is historically the area that sees the smallest increases, even when coupled with high growth, especially at higher initial proficiency levels.

We do not know definitively what will happen with testing, but the following goals would be based on taking SBAC this Spring. This would mean that academic achievement data would be available but growth/ratings would not be available until 2022.

## = Next potential rated year

## Middle School ELA


*Though there is a 2 year gap between 2019 \& 2021, a minimal benchmark increase was selected for ELA \& Math. This is due to early research which anticipates an average academic loss of 1 instructional year for each student as a result of quarantine during the COVID-19 epidemic.

Middle School Science

## Middle School Math



100

75


57

## Growth Indicators

Student growth percentiles represent a Somerset student's growth compared to their academic peers across Nevada. The target median should not gradually increase but rather always strive to be greater than or equal to 65 .

Student growth indicators require 2 consecutive years of testing so the next likely year where this data would be received for SBAC would be in 2022. To track 2021 progress towards growth goals, interim data would need to be utilized.
= Next potential rated year

## Middle School ELA



## Middle School Math


$\geq 65=2021-2024$ Math MGP Goal

Adequate Growth Percentiles (AGP) reflects the percentage of students who hit their individual growth targets. Targets are based on either obtaining or maintaing proficiency. The higher the proficiency levels, the lower and more achieveable the targets.

## Middle School ELA

$100 \longrightarrow 100$


Middle School Math

75


## Closing Opportunity Gaps

The Closing Opportunity Gaps indicator reflects the percentage of non-proficient students hitting their individual growth targets (AGP). Students do not necessarily have to achieve proficiency in order to hit their target, so rigorous improvements can be realistic goals.

```
N Next potential rated year
```


## Middle School ELA



## Middle School Math




## Student Engagement



Below are some of the major instructional implementation strategies for each indicator in the 2020-21 school year:

Recommended that each strategy have an in-person \& online implementation approach:

## 1. Academic Achievement/Growth Medians:

## ELA

* We have purchased i-Ready as an extra diagnostic assessment and intervention support for our K-8th teachers and students. Our teachers have already been through multiple staff development trainings in order to ensure they understand the benefits and can carry out the program with fidelity.
* We have hired a literacy specialist which is supporting our teachers with the RBG3 requirements and providing them with literacy supports.

1. 4th and 5th Grade Departmentalization
2. 4th and 5th Intervention Specialists
3. Standards-based Instruction/Assessment
4. MAP fall/winter/spring
5. SBAC data
6. RTI

## MATH

* We have purchased i-Ready as an extra diagnostic assessment and intervention support for our K-8th teachers and students. Our teachers have already been through multiple staff development trainings in order to ensure they understand the benefits and can carry out the program with fidelity.
* We extended our school day for our 5th grade students by 30 minutes to include an intervention/extension time for our students. Based on the SBAC scores, we also identified math as a specific area for improvement so we extended their math block from 70 to 100 minutes.


## Elementary Implementation Strategies for 2020-21



1. 4th and 5th Grade Departmentalization
2. 4th and 5th Intervention Specialists
3. Standards-based Instruction/Assessment
4. MAP fall/winter/spring
5. SBAC data
6. RTI

## SCIENCE

1. 4th and 5th Grade Departmentalization
2. StemScopes Implementation

## GROWTH/DIFFERENTIATED INSTRUCTION

1. iReady - students work at their own levels based on assessment data
2. Wonders-scaffolding/differentiation embedded in curriculum and instruction

## CLOSING OPPORTUNITY GAPS

1. Personalize learning as needed and appropriate
2. Present topics that are socially relevant and address social/emotional needs of the student as appropriate

## CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM

1. Consistent monitoring
2. Consistent contact with parents

## MS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21



Recommended that each strategy have an in-person \& online implementation approach:

## 1. Academic Achievement/Growth Medians:

## ELA

* We added 3 periods of math foundations classes and 1 period of ELA foundations to our middle school schedule to support our middle school students (previous 5th graders) who did not pass SBAC last year.
* We have built in a 25 minute intervention/extension time for our middle school students (last year's 5th grade students).
* We have purchased i-Ready as an extra diagnostic assessment and intervention support for our K-8th teachers and students. Our teachers have already been through multiple staff development trainings in order to ensure they understand the benefits and can carry out the program with fidelity.

1. Standards-based Instruction and Assessment
2. RTI
3. MAP fall/winter/spring SBAC data

## MATH

* We added 3 periods of math foundations classes and 1 period of ELA foundations to our middle school schedule to support our middle school students (previous 5th graders) who did not pass SBAC last year.
* We have built in a 25 minute intervention/extension time for our middle school students (last year's 5th grade students).
* We have purchased i-Ready as an extra diagnostic assessment and intervention support for our K-8th teachers and students. Our teachers have already been through multiple staff development trainings in order to ensure they understand the benefits and can carry out the program with fidelity.

1. Standards-based Instruction and Assessment
2. RTI
3. MAP fall/winter/spring
4. SBAC data

## MS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21



## SCIENCE

1. Standards-based Instruction and Assessment
2. StemScopes Implementation
3. Science Assessment Data

GROWTH/DIFFERENTIATED INSTRUCTION

1. iReady
2. Embedded Instruction

## CLOSING OPPORTUNITY GAPS

1. Personalize learning as needed and appropriate
2. Present topics that are socially relevant and address social/emotional needs of the student as appropriate

## CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM

1. Consistent monitoring
2. Consistent contact with parents

## 5 Star Timeline

## ANTICIPATED RATINGS TIMELINE

Meeting each of the aforementioned benchmarks will put Somerset Aliante on the following timeline for achieving 5 Star status:

ELEMENTARY


MIDDLE SCHOOL


Index score = 82.7

##  <br> SOMERSET ACADEMY LONE MOUNTAIN

WINTER:IREADY DATA


Reading \% of Students at Grade Level

## Math \% of Students at Grade Level











Grades 4-5 Pooled AGP


AGP by Grade

AGP Met
53.4\%

## Grades 6-8 Pooled AGP

## AGP by Grade

AGP Met
53.1\%
66.6\%

25


## Grades 4-5 Pooled GAP



## GAP by Grade

100



## Grades 6-8 Pooled GAP

## GAP by Grade



AGP Met
49.6\%


- At Risk for Tier 3

18\%
© Tier 2
42\%

Tier 1
40\%

Number and Operations (NO)
Algebra and Algebraic Thinking (ALG)
Measurement and Data (MS)
Geometry (GEO)
)
$\square$

Two Grade Levels
Below

98 Students
$42 \% \quad 10 \% \quad 8 \%$
D. Mid or Above Grade

Level

Early On Grade Level

185 Students

One Grade Level Below
,

409 Students








## Grades 4-5 Pooled AGP

## AGP by Grade



100

75

50


## Grades 6-8 Pooled AGP

## AGP by Grade




## Grades 4-5 Pooled GAP

## GAP by Grade



## AGP Not Met

71.5\%

## Grades 6-8 Pooled GAP

## GAP by Grade




## Potential TSI Trigger

| Subgroup | N Size ELA Proficiency | ELA <br> Proficiency | N Size Math Proficiency | Math Proficiency | N Size ELA AGP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { AGP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math AGP | MATH AGP | N Size ELA GAP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { GAP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math GAP | MATH GAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | 2 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Asian | 13 | 76.9 | 13 | 30.7 | 5 | 100.0 | 5 | 20.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 4 | 25.0 |
| Black | 26 | 42.3 | 26 | 26.9 | 20 | 50.0 | 20 | 35.0 | 15 | 40.0 | 14 | 21.4 |
| Hispanic | 103 | 31.0 | 102 | 11.7 | 66 | 46.9 | 65 | 26.1 | 42 | 40.4 | 46 | 26.0 |
| Multirace | 14 | 57.1 | 14 | 28.5 | 5 | 80.0 | 5 | 40.0 | 4 | 75.0 | 4 | 25.0 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | n/a | 0 | n/a | 0 | n/a | 0 | n/a | 0 | n/a | 0 | n/a |
| White | 147 | 53.0 | 147 | 31.2 | 106 | 55.6 | 106 | 46.2 | 54 | 46.2 | 56 | 33.9 |
| EL | 12 | 8.3 | 13 | 7.6 | 5 | 20.0 | 6 | 16.6 | 5 | 20.0 | 6 | 16.6 |
| SPED | 38 | 15.7 | 38 | 10.5 | 30 | 40.0 | 30 | 33.3 | 24 | 25.0 | 25 | 28.0 |

[^0]FRL, MGP, WIDA, Chronic Absenteeism, or RBG3



## Potential TSI Trigger

| Subgroup | N Size ELA <br> Proficiency | ELA <br> Proficiency | N Size Math Proficiency | Math Proficiency | N Size ELA AGP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { AGP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math AGP | MATH AGP | N Size ELA GAP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { GAP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math GAP | MATH GAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | 0 | n/a | 0 | n/a | 0 | n/a | 0 | n/a | 0 | n/a | 0 | n/a |
| Asian | 13 | 69.3 | 13 | 53.8 | 13 | 61.5 | 13 | 61.5 | 5 | 60.0 | 3 | 66.6 |
| Black | 17 | 47.0 | 20 | 25.0 | 17 | 52.9 | 20 | 50.0 | 10 | 60.0 | 17 | 52.9 |
| Hispanic | 129 | 54.2 | 120 | 25.8 | 129 | 48.0 | 120 | 38.3 | 70 | 47.1 | 77 | 33.7 |
| Multirace | 27 | 70.3 | 23 | 43.4 | 27 | 48.1 | 23 | 30.4 | 10 | 50.0 | 9 | 11.1 |
| Pacific Islander | 4 | 50.0 | 4 | 25.0 | 4 | 75.0 | 4 | 25.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| White | 143 | 67.8 | 137 | 41.6 | 143 | 57.3 | 137 | 42.3 | 50 | 50.0 | 61 | 24.5 |
| EL | 14 | 0.0 | 13 | 0.0 | 14 | 21.4 | 13 | 7.6 | 14 | 21.4 | 13 | 7.6 |
| SPED | 42 | 28.5 | 36 | 8.3 | 42 | 26.1 | 36 | 16.6 | 28 | 21.4 | 26 | 15.3 |

*Please be aware, this graph does not include potential TSI triggers for the following groups/categories:
FRL, MGP, WIDA, Chronic Absenteeism, Academic Learning Plans, or 8th Grade Sufficiency





## Road to 5 Stars

## SOMERSET LONE MOUNTAIN LAS VEGAS



Prepared by
CESAR TIU, PRINCIPAL
\& LONE MOUNTAIN
ADMINISTRATION

## GOALS



1. To maintain 5 star status in the elementary school
2. To maintain 5 star status in the middle school

## ROAD TO 5 STARS



The destination: 5 star status at Somerset Lone Mountain at the elementary and middle school levels. The roadmap to our destination is provided in this proposal and is based on analysis of our current status and trajectory. It should be noted that our proposal includes realistic, yet rigorous benchmark goals for the next 5 years but that these goals will need to be revisited each year upon receiving the results of state testing. Updates should also be considered when, and if, there are any dramatic shifts in student population (ex. an enrollment increase). Progress towards goals should be observed through monitoring student interim assessment data (i.e. NWEA Map, iReady, etc.)

## Current Status

Most recent star ratings and state testing performance (2018-2019 school year). A status update will be provided upon analyzing our Fall 2020 Interim assessment data.

## Elementary



## Middle School

## Somerset Academy Lone Mountain

School Year 2018-2019 Nevada School Rating



Toal Index Score

What does my school rating mean?
Five-Star school. Recognives s superior school that exceeds expectation for all sudents and supegroups sn every indicator
rasegary with latie or ne eaception A five sar scheol demonstrates
 The hoool does not tai to reet expectasions for avy group on any adirator. These schants are reonguized lor dissingain
How are school star ratings determined?
scroil recesve points based on studens performance acorss vaious. indicators and Measures. These point are totiled and divided by the points possuble tes produce an indes scare from $t-100$, This indey sore is msociated with a one to five-star school rating
2018-2019 School Performance


## Academic Achievement

Below are performance benchmark goals for the next 5 years. Proficiency is historically the area that sees the smallest increases, even when coupled with high growth, especially at higher initial proficiency levels.

We do not know definitively what will happen with testing, but the following goals would be based on taking SBAC this Spring. This would mean that academic achievement data would be available but growth/ratings would not be available until 2022.

## = Next potential rated year

## Elementary ELA

100


## Elementary Math

0


100

75
Math. This is due to early research which anticipates an average academic loss of 1 instructional year for each student as a result of quarantine during the COVID-19 epidemic.

Elementary Science

## Growth Indicators

Student growth percentiles represent a Somerset student's growth compared to their academic peers across Nevada. The target median should not gradually increase but rather always strive to be greater than or equal to 65 .

Student growth indicators require 2 consecutive years of testing so the next likely year where this data would be received for SBAC would be in 2022. To track 2021 progress towards growth goals, interim data would need to be utilized.
= Next potential rated year

## Elementary ELA



## Elementary Math

$\geq 65=2022-2024$ Math MGP Goal

Adequate Growth Percentiles (AGP) reflects the percentage of students who hit their individual growth targets. Targets are based on either obtaining or maintaing proficiency. The higher the proficiency levels, the lower and more achieveable the targets.

## Elementary ELA

100


## Elementary Math

100


## Closing Opportunity Gaps

The Closing Opportunity Gaps indicator reflects the percentage of non-proficient students hitting their individual growth targets (AGP). Students do not necessarily have to achieve proficiency in order to hit their target, so rigorous improvements can be realistic goals.
= Next potential rated year

## Elementary ELA



EL Proficiency


## Elementary Math



## Student Engagement

$5 \%=2019$ Chronic Absenteeism \%

## Academic Achievement

Below are performance benchmark goals for the next 5 years. Proficiency is historically the area that sees the smallest increases, even when coupled with high growth, especially at higher initial proficiency levels.

We do not know definitively what will happen with testing, but the following goals would be based on taking SBAC this Spring. This would mean that academic achievement data would be available but growth/ratings would not be available until 2022.
= Next potential rated year

## Middle School ELA

100


* Though there is a 2 year gap between 2019 \& 2021, a minimal benchmark increase was selected for ELA \& Math. This is due to early research which anticipates an average academic loss of 1 instructional year for each student as a result of quarantine during the COVID-19 epidemic.


## Middle School Math





100

75

50

Middle School Science

## Growth Indicators

Student growth percentiles represent a Somerset student's growth compared to their academic peers across Nevada. The target median should not gradually increase but rather always strive to be greater than or equal to 65 .

Student growth indicators require 2 consecutive years of testing so the next likely year where this data would be received for SBAC would be in 2022. To track 2021 progress towards growth goals, interim data would need to be utilized.
= Next potential rated year

## Middle School ELA



Middle School Math

$\geq 65$
= 2021-2024 Math MGP Goal

Adequate Growth Percentiles (AGP) reflects the percentage of students who hit their individual growth targets. Targets are based on either obtaining or maintaing proficiency. The higher the proficiency levels, the lower and more achieveable the targets.

## Middle School ELA

$100 \longrightarrow 100$


Middle School Math


## Closing Opportunity Gaps

The Closing Opportunity Gaps indicator reflects the percentage of non-proficient students hitting their individual growth targets (AGP). Students do not necessarily have to achieve proficiency in order to hit their target, so rigorous improvements can be realistic goals.
= Next potential rated year

## Middle School ELA



## Middle School Math



## Student Engagement

6\%
$=2019$ Chronic Absenteeism \%
$<5 \%$
$=2021-2024$ Goal

## Elementary Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

Below are some of the major instructional implementation strategies for each indicator in the 2020-21 school year:

Recommended that each strategy have an in-person \& online implementation approach:

## 1. Academic Achievement/Growth Medians:

## ELA (In-Person)

- Superkids K-2
- Engage NY 3-5
- LETRS K-3
- Wilson Reading 2-5
- 95th Percent Group (Tier 2 Instruction K-5)
- Heggerty Phonics (Tier 1 Instruction K-2)


## ELA (Online)

- iReady Online
- MyOn K-5
- News ELA
- Readworks
- Aimsweb Monitoring
- BrainPop, BrainPop Jr., BrainPop ELL
- Razzkids, ELL


## Math (In-Person)

- Engage New York
- Magic of Math


## Math (Online)

- ST Math
- iReady
- AimsWeb Monitoring
- Khan Academy
- Prodigy Math


## Elementary Implementation Strategies for 2020-21



## SCIENCE (In-Person)

- FOSS Science K-5


## Science (Online)

- FOSS Online
- BrainPop, BrainPop Jr, BrainPop ELL
- Readworks Science
- NewsELA Science Resources


## 2. Growth (AGP) Differentiated Instruction:

## In-Person

- ELA and Math will receive direct instruction and small group differentiation
- 95th Percent small group instruction
- Wilson reading small group interventions
- Enrichment and intervention designated times


## Online

- ST Math at grade level
- iReady at student level

3. Closing Opportunity Gaps (Instruction for nonproficient students):

## In-Person

- Use of enrichment and intervention blocks utilizing; Wilson Reading, 95th Percent group, Heggerty Phonics


## Online

- iReady online practice at student level
- ST Math
- Readworks


## 4. Chronic Absenteeism:

- Continued monitoring
- Teacher contact w/parents when students have an extended absence
- Registrar will call/email regarding student absences
- Admin meetings with parents/students with excessive absences


## MS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

Recommended that each strategy have an in-person \& online implementation approach:

## 1. Academic Achievement/Growth Medians:

## ELA (In-Person/Online)

- Content/grade level instructional planning, collaboration, and common assessment aligned with standards
- Use of Engage New York curriculum
- Content area MS collaboration and horizontal alignment
- Data analysis for effective instruction and improvement
- Increase student engagement strategies such as Kagan and Marzano
- Student tracking of growth and goals
- Implementation of SBAC testing engagement, readiness, and strategies
- Use of iReady program for RTI and struggling students


## MATH (In-Person/Online)

- Content/grade level instructional planning, collaboration, and common assessment aligned with standards
- iReady and Open Up
- Content area MS collaboration and horizontal alignment
- Increase student engagement strategies such as Kagan and Marzano
- Student tracking of growth and goals
- Implementation of SBAC testing engagement, readiness, and strategies
- Use of iReady program for RTI and struggling students


## MS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21



## Science (In-Person/Online)

- Collaborative planning of MS science teachers with the standards
- Hands on and inquiry based learning
- Use of interactive notebooks for student engagement, note taking, tracking, and responsibility
- Increase student engagement strategies such as Kagan and Marzano
- Student tracking of growth and goals
- Spiral review of curriculum


## 2. Growth (AGP) Differentiated Instruction:

## In Person/Online

- Differentiate instruction and increase effective instruction supported in all areas through contentlevel collaboration and planning, coaching supports for teachers, and mentor program for new teachers.
- Foundations period used to place identified students for interventions
- Collaborative discussion and grade level planning for students needs
- Integrated instruction between content areas.
- Increase student engagement and strategies such as Kagan and Marzano


## 3. Closing Opportunity Gaps (Instruction for nonproficient students):

## In Person/Online

ELA: Continue to assist low achieving students fill gaps with ELA foundations class as an elective. Individual, small group, and whole group instruction.

MATH: Continue to assist low achieving students fill gaps with the math foundations class as an elective. Individual, small group, and whole group instruction.

BOTH: Student weakness/gaps identified and worked with to strengthen; foundational skills strengthened; concepts reinforced and spiraled

## MS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

## Contd. Closing Opportunity Gaps (Instruction for non-proficient students):

ONLINE: Teachers will continue to teach, monitor growth, and support students through use of Zoom, online programs, and teacher guided activities. Teacher support of each other, planning, and collaboration to continue.

## 4. Chronic Absenteeism

- Continued monitoring of absenteeism
- Teacher contact with parents when students have an extended absence
- Registrar to make phone calls and send emails regarding student absences
- Meetings held by administration with students and parents with excessive absences.


## 5 Star Timeline

## ANTICIPATED RATINGS TIMELINE

Meeting each of the aforementioned benchmarks will put Somerset Lone Mountain on the following timeline for maintaining 5 Star status:

ELEMENTARY


MIDDLE SCHOOL


Index score = 96.6


Reading \% of Students at/above Grade Level


Math \% of Students at/above Grade Level

On/Above 27\%

Below 73\%


Fall 2020

- At Risk for Tier 3

27\%









99.0



## Grades 4-5 Pooled AGP

## AGP by Grade



## Grades 6-8 Pooled AGP

## AGP by Grade

50


## Grades 4-5 Pooled GAP

GAP by Grade


## Grades 6-8 Pooled GAP

## GAP by Grade

75

50
35.6\%



6th
7th
8th


| 11\% | 16\% |  | 47\% | 14\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DCDPDPI. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid or Above Grade Level | - Early On Grade Level | - One Grade Level Below | Two Grade Levels Below | 0 | Three or More Grade Levels Below |
| 149 Students | 224 Students | 646 Students | 190 Students |  | 178 Students |








74.5


## Grades 4-5 Pooled AGP

## AGP by Grade




## Grades 6-8 Pooled AGP

## AGP by Grade



## Grades 4-5 Pooled GAP

## GAP by Grade



## Grades 6-8 Pooled GAP

## GAP by Grade

## Potential TSI Trigger

| Subgroup | N Size ELA Proficiency | ELA <br> Proficiency | N Size Math Proficiency | Math Proficiency | N Size ELA AGP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { AGP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math AGP | MATH AGP | N Size ELA GAP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { GAP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math GAP | MATH GAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A |
| Asian | 18 | 61.1 | 17 | 41.1 | 12 | 58.3 | 12 | 75.0 | 7 | 42.8 | 7 | 71.4 |
| Black | 96 | 41.6 | 100 | 10.0 | 68 | 64.7 | 70 | 30.0 | 45 | 62.2 | 60 | 33.3 |
| Hispanic | 223 | 34.9 | 225 | 13.7 | 151 | 49.0 | 153 | 45.0 | 116 | 47.4 | 126 | 42.0 |
| Multirace | 35 | 37.1 | 35 | 8.5 | 20 | 45.0 | 19 | 52.6 | 14 | 57.1 | 18 | 55.5 |
| Pacific Islander | 11 | 27.2 | 12 | 25.0 | 7 | 57.1 | 8 | 50.0 | 4 | 50.0 | 7 | 57.1 |
| White | 56 | 32.1 | 55 | 18.1 | 35 | 48.5 | 36 | 52.7 | 24 | 45.8 | 24 | 41.6 |
| EL | 61 | 22.9 | 63 | 9.5 | 46 | 39.1 | 47 | 31.9 | 42 | 40.4 | 44 | 31.8 |
| SPED | 49 | 10.2 | 48 | 6.2 | 32 | 34.3 | 33 | 27.2 | 27 | 33.3 | 29 | 27.5 |

*Please be aware, this graph does not include potential TSI triggers for the following groups/categories: FRL, MGP, WIDA, Chronic Absenteeism, or RBG3



## Potential TSI Trigger

| Subgroup | N Size ELA Proficiency | ELA <br> Proficiency | N Size Math Proficiency | Math Proficiency | N Size ELA AGP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { AGP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math AGP | MATH AGP | N Size ELA GAP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { GAP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math GAP | MATH GAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 50.0 |
| Asian | 23 | 56.5 | 23 | 34.7 | 23 | 52.1 | 23 | 52.1 | 11 | 18.1 | 12 | 41.6 |
| Black | 84 | 23.8 | 90 | 6.6 | 84 | 29.7 | 90 | 32.2 | 64 | 29.6 | 86 | 29.0 |
| Hispanic | 242 | 38.8 | 254 | 22.0 | 242 | 38.0 | 254 | 34.6 | 154 | 30.5 | 198 | 28.2 |
| Multirace | 36 | 33.3 | 38 | 26.3 | 36 | 36.1 | 38 | 39.4 | 26 | 34.6 | 31 | 32.2 |
| Pacific Islander | 2 | 50.0 | 3 | 33.3 | 2 | 50.0 | 3 | 66.6 | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 50.0 |
| White | 65 | 55.3 | 66 | 25.7 | 65 | 41.5 | 66 | 34.8 | 36 | 33.3 | 46 | 32.6 |
| EL | 54 | 12.9 | 57 | 12.2 | 54 | 22.2 | 57 | 28.0 | 49 | 18.3 | 53 | 24.5 |
| SPED | 50 | 22.0 | 53 | 9.4 | 50 | 26.0 | 53 | 16.9 | 39 | 17.9 | 48 | 16.6 |

*Please be aware, this graph does not include potential TSI triggers for the following groups/categories: FRL, MGP, WIDA, Chronic Absenteeism, Academic Learning Plans, or 8th Grade Sufficiency




## Road to 5 Stars

## SOMERSET LOSEE



Prepared by
JESSICA SCOBELL, PRINCIPAL
\& LOSEE ADMINISTRATION

## GOALS



1. To achieve 5 star status in the elementary school
2. To achieve 5 star status in the middle school
3. To achieve 5 star status in the high school

## ROAD TO 5 STARS



The destination: 5 star status at Somerset Losee at every school level. The roadmap to our destination is provided in this proposal and is based on analysis of our current status and trajectory. It should be noted that our proposal includes realistic, yet rigorous benchmark goals for the next 5 years but that these goals will need to be revisited each year upon receiving the results of state testing. Updates should also be considered when, and if, there are any dramatic shifts in student population (ex. an enrollment increase). Progress towards goals should be observed through monitoring student interim assessment data (i.e. NWEA Map, iReady, etc.)

## Current Status

Most recent star ratings and state testing performance (2018-2019 school year). A status update will be provided upon analyzing our Fall 2020 Interim assessment data.

## Elementary

## Middle School

| School Level: Grade levels: | Elementary School OK-12 |
| :---: | :---: |
| District | State Public Charter School Authority |
| School | 4650 Losee Road |
| Address: | North Las Vegas, NV 89081 |



What does my school rating mean?
Two-Star schoot idenifies a school that has partially met the
statess standard for perfformance. Students and states standird for performance. Students and subgroups often meet
expectations for academic performance or growth but may have mutiple areas that require improvement. Areas requiring significant improvement are uncommon. The school must submit an improvemen plan that idenuifies supports tallored to subgroups and indicators that are below standard
How are school star ratings determined?
Schools receive points based on student performance across various
indicators and Measures. These points are totaled and divided
points posssible to produce an index score from 1ed and divided by the
is associated with a one-to five-star school rating. 1.100 . This index score
2018-2019 School Performance

subgroups as well as very low performing subgroups. TSIVATSII schools
subgroups as wel as very low performing subgroups. ISVATS, schoots
cannot recive more than a threstar rating in the year they are first
designated. See the TSV/ATSI designation report for more information.
How are star ratings determined based on total index


| Somerset Academy Losee |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| School Levet, Grade Levels: District <br> School Address: | Middle School <br> OK-12 <br> State Public Charter School <br> Authority <br> 4650 Losee Road <br> North Las Vegas, NV 89081 |
|  |  |

What does my school rating mean?
Two-Star school Identifies a school that has partially met the
states standard for performance Sudents and subtips states's standard for performance. Students and subbroups often meet expectations for academic performance or growet but may have
multiple areas that require improvement. Areas requiring significant mutipove areast tare uncournmon. The schooi musst submita an smproveme plan that identifies supports taliored to subgroups and indicators that

How are school star ratings determined?
How are school star ratings determined?
School seeceive oponts based on student performance across various Schools receve points based on student performance across various
indicars and Mesures. TTese points are totalied and divideco by the points possible to produce an index score trom $1-100$. This index score is associated with a one- to fivestar school rating
2018-2019 School Performance


How are star ratings determined based on total index
score?
Below 29 \#
At or above 29 but less than 50 \# At or above 50 and less than 70 * ** At or above 50 and less than $70 \star * *$
At or above 70 and less than $80 \geqslant * *$ At or above 80

TSU/ATSI des ignation: This school has consistenty underperforming
subgroups as well as very low performing subgroups. TSVATSI schools cannot receive more than a threestar rating in the year they are first desgrated. See the TSUATSI designation report for more information.


## Current Status

Most recent star rating and state testing performance (2018-2019 school year). A status update will be provided upon analyzing our 2020 ACT and Fall 2020 Interim assessment data.

## High School



Losee High School's reporting issue led to an inaccurate rating. Below are the valid data points that would have resulted in a 3 star high school. Goals proposed will be based on these numbers.

| NSPF Component | Reported Components for Scoring | Points Allotted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic Achievement | Math \% Proficient $=18.5 \%$ <br> ELA \% Proficient $=31.7 \%$ <br> Science \% Proficient $=14.7 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 / 10 \text { pts } \\ & 3 / 10 \text { pts } \\ & 0.5 / 5 \\ & \text { Total Pts. }=6.5 / 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| Graduation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reported } 4 \text { yr cohort = } \\ & 70.4 \% \\ & \text { Actual } 4 \text { yr cohort }=98.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | Pts Received $=3 / 25$ <br> Pts Should Have Received $=25 / 25$ |
|  | Reported 5 year cohort = Pending | N/A |
| English Language Proficiency | 12.5\% | Pts Received $=7 / 10$ |
| College \& Career Readiness | CCRPT = CCRCOMP= CCR Adv. Dip. = | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 / 10 \\ & 0.5 / 10 \\ & 4 / 5 \\ & \text { Total pts }=5 / 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| Student Engagement | 9th Grade Credit Suff. $=90.5 \%$ <br> Chron. Absenteeism $=14.4 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 / 5 \mathrm{pts} \\ & 2.5 / 5 \mathrm{pts} \\ & \text { Total Pts. }=5.5 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL |  | Received Index $=28.42$ (2 star) <br> Index w/Actual Grad Rates $=51.57$ (3 star) |

## Academic Achievement

Below are performance benchmark goals for the next 5 years. Proficiency is historically the area that sees the smallest increases, even when coupled with high growth, especially at higher initial proficiency levels.

We do not know definitively what will happen with testing, but the following goals would be based on taking SBAC this Spring. This would mean that academic achievement data would be available but growth/ratings would not be available until 2022.

```
N = Next potential rated year
```


## Elementary ELA

100

75

*Though there is a 2 year gap between 2019 \& 2021, a minimal benchmark increase was selected for ELA \& Math. This is due to early research which anticipates an average academic loss of 1 instructional year for each student as a result of quarantine during the COVID-19 epidemic.

Elementary Science


## Growth Indicators

Student growth percentiles represent a Somerset student's growth compared to their academic peers across Nevada. The target median should not gradually increase but rather always strive to be greater than or equal to 65 .

Student growth indicators require 2 consecutive years of testing so the next likely year where this data would be received for SBAC would be in 2022. To track 2021 progress towards growth goals, interim data would need to be utilized.

## = Next potential rated year

## Elementary ELA <br> $45=2019$ ELA MGP <br>  <br> = 2021 ELA MGP Goal <br> $\geq 65=2022-2024$ ELA MGP Goal

 Elementary Math 41 = 2019 Math MGP$\geq 50=2021$ Math MGP Goal
$\geq 58=2022-2024$ Math MGP Goal

Adequate Growth Percentiles (AGP) reflects the percentage of students who hit their individual growth targets. Targets are based on either obtaining or maintaing proficiency. The higher the proficiency levels, the lower and more achieveable the targets.

## Elementary ELA

## Elementary Math

100


## Closing Opportunity Gaps

The Closing Opportunity Gaps indicator reflects the percentage of non-proficient students hitting their individual growth targets (AGP). Students do not necessarily have to achieve proficiency in order to hit their target, so rigorous improvements can be realistic goals.

## Elementary ELA



EL Proficiency


## Academic Achievement

Below are performance benchmark goals for the next 5 years. Proficiency is historically the area that sees the smallest increases, even when coupled with high growth, especially at higher initial proficiency levels.

We do not know definitively what will happen with testing, but the following goals would be based on taking SBAC this Spring. This would mean that academic achievement data would be available but growth/ratings would not be available until 2022.

## = Next potential rated year

## Middle School ELA

100

75

*Though there is a 2 year gap between 2019 \& 2021, a minimal benchmark increase was selected for ELA \& Math. This is due to early research which anticipates an average academic loss of 1 instructional year for each student as a result of quarantine during the COVID-19 epidemic.

## Middle School Math




50

Middles School Science


## Growth Indicators

Student growth percentiles represent a Somerset student's growth compared to their academic peers across Nevada. The target median should not gradually increase but rather always strive to be greater than or equal to 65 .

Student growth indicators require 2 consecutive years of testing so the next likely year where this data would be received for SBAC would be in 2022. To track 2021 progress towards growth goals, interim data would need to be utilized.

## = Next potential rated year

## Middle School ELA

$40=2019$ ELA MGP
$\geq 51=2021$ ELA MGP Goal
$\geq 61=2022-2024$ ELA MGP Goal

Adequate Growth Percentiles (AGP) reflects the percentage of students who hit their individual growth targets. Targets are based on either obtaining or maintaing proficiency. The higher the proficiency levels, the lower and more achieveable the targets.

## Middle School ELA



## Closing Opportunity Gaps

The Closing Opportunity Gaps indicator reflects the percentage of non-proficient students hitting their individual growth targets (AGP). Students do not necessarily have to achieve proficiency in order to hit their target, so rigorous improvements can be realistic goals.

## Middle School ELA



EL Proficiency


## Middle School Math

75

50



## Student Engagement

8.3\% = 2019 Chronic Absenteeism \%

$\geq 7 \%=2021$ Goal
$\geq 6 \%=2022 \mathrm{Goal}$
$>5 \%=2023-24 \mathrm{Coal}$

## Academic Achievement

Below are performance benchmark goals for the next 5 years. Proficiency is historically the area that sees the smallest increases, even when coupled with high growth, especially at higher initial proficiency levels.

## High School ELA

100

75

*2020 ACT results and benchmarks may be adjusted slightly after data validation process
*High schoolers are also anticipated to experience instructional losses due to the COVID-19 quarantine. This was factored into increases.

## High School Math

100

75

50


100

75

High School
Science

## Graduation Rates

The 4-year cohort is the \% of total students in the 4-year graduating class receiving a diploma (ex. seniors who graduated in 2020). The 5 -year cohort is the \% of total students whose OYOG was the year prior but they received their diploma with the next graduating class (ex. they were part of the 2019 cohort but graduated with the 2020 cohort). *HS grad rates lag a year behind.

4-Year ACGR

$\geq 95 \%=2020-20244$-Year ACGR

## 5-Year ACGR

N/A = 2019 Math MGP
$\geq 95 \%=2021-2024$ Math MGP Goal

## College \& Career Readiness

Post-Secondary Preparation Participation and Completion is based on students meeting the criteria for participating and completing AP, IB, DC/DE, and/or CTE courses.

## Post-Secondary Prep.

Participation

100


## Post-Secondary Prep.

Completion

100

75

50


## Advanced/CCR Diploma



## EL Proficiency



## 9th Credit Sufficiency



## Student Engagement

$$
14.4 \%=2019 \text { Chronic Absenteeism \% }
$$



## Elementary Implementation Strategies for 2020-21



Below are some of the major instructional implementation strategies for each indicator in the 2020-21 school year:

Recommended that each strategy have an in-person \& online implementation approach:

$\left.$| Academic Achievement/Growth Median: <br> 1. ELA |
| :--- |
| In-person Online <br> Utilize Instructional <br> Coaches to provide <br> individualized teacher <br> support: <br> -Part Time ELA strategist <br> -Full Time K-5 instructional <br> coach <br> -Full Time Literacy <br> Strategist Utilize Instructional Coaches to provide individualized teacher <br> support: <br> -Part Time ELA strategist <br> -Full Time K-5 instructional coach <br> -Full Time Literacy Strategist <br> Strengthen Tier 1 <br> Instruction using curriculum <br> with integrity <br> CKLA <br> - iReady Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using digital curriculum with <br> integrity <br> CKLA <br> CReady <br> Continue on-going training <br> on unwrapping priority <br> standards Continue on-going training on unwrapping priority standards <br> Training scheduled for all <br> K-5 teachers to utilize <br> CKLA effectively. Training scheduled for all K-5 teachers to utilize CKLA <br> effectively. <br> Grade levels use common <br> assessments for priority <br> standards Grade levels use common assessments for priority standards <br> Implement the ELA <br> Framework in all grade <br> levels Implement the ELA Framework in all grade levels <br> Provide professional development on the use of digital  <br> resources, programs, apps, and platforms  | | Prepare Student take home curriculum packages to include |
| :--- |
| workbooks, writing prompts and iReady toolbox supplemental |
| materials. This ensures all students have essential materials to |
| successful navigate distance learning. | \right\rvert\,

## Elementary Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

| In-person | Online |
| :---: | :---: |
| Utilize Instructional Coaches to provide individualized teacher support: <br> -Full Time K-8 Math Strategist -Full Time K-5 instructional coach | Utilize Instructional Coaches to provide individualized teacher support: <br> -Full Time K-8 Math Strategist <br> -Full Time K-5 instructional coach |
| Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using curriculum with integrity <br> - Investigations | Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using digital curriculum with integrity <br> - Investigations |
| Continue on-going training on unwrapping priority standards | Continue on-going training on unwrapping priority standards |
| Provide training on Investigations to either introduce the curriculum to new staff or strengthen knowledge of current staff | Provide training on Investigations online resources to either introduce the curriculum to new staff or strengthen knowledge of current staff |
| Grade levels use common assessments for priority standards | Grade levels use common assessments for priority standards |
| Implement the Math Framework in all grade levels | Implement the Math Framework in all grade levels |
|  | Provide professional development on the use of digital resources, programs, apps, and platforms |
|  | Prepare Student take home curriculum packages to include workbooks, iReady toolbox supplemental materials and math manipulatives. This ensures all students have essential materials to successful navigate distance learning. |

## 3. Science

| In-person | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using <br> curriculum with integrity <br> - Mystery Science K-4 <br> FOSS - 5 | Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using digital <br> curriculum with integrity <br> - Mystery Science K-4 <br> FOSS - 5 |
| Continue on-going training on NGSS | Continue on-going training on NGSS |
|  <br> FOSS to either introduce the curriculum to <br> new staff or strengthen knowledge of <br> current staff | Provide training on Mystery Science \& FOSS <br> online resources to either introduce the <br> curriculum to new staff or strengthen knowledge <br> of current staff |
| Grade levels use common assessments for <br> priority standards | Grade levels use common assessments for <br> priority standards |
| Implement the Science Framework in all <br> grade levels | Implement the Science Framework in all grade <br> levels |
|  | Provide professional development on the use of <br> digital resources, programs, apps, and <br> platforms. |

## Elementary Implementation Strategies for 2020-21



Growth (AGP) Differentiated Instruction:

| In-person | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
|  <br> Extension (I/E) blocks (30 minutes each) <br> focused on Tier 2 \& Tier 3 targeted small <br> group instruction using I/E Framework | Daily math and ELA Intervention \& Extension <br> (I/E) blocks (30 minutes each) focused on Tier 2 <br> \& Tier 3 targeted small group instruction using <br> I/E Framework <br> IA will schedule zoom small group |
| Focus on iReady lessons and monitor <br> student growth with data chats. | instruction when students are attending <br> virtually (teachers provide scripted <br> lessons) <br> Focus on iReady lessons and monitor <br> student growth with data chats. |
| Read by 3 literary specialists will meet with <br> small groups focused on their RTI/Read by <br> 3 goals | Read by 3 literary specialists will meet with <br> small groups via zoom focused on their <br> RTI/Read by 3 goals |
| Use iReady \& MAP Data to flexibly adjust <br> small group instruction, goals, and groups | Use iReady \& MAP Data to flexibly adjust small <br> group instruction, goals, and groups |
| Explicit Phonics instruction | Explicit Phonics instruction |
| Cohort 1 will train staff in LETRS | Cohort 1 will train staff in LETRS |

Closing Opportunity Gaps (Instruction for non-proficient students):

| In-person | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| Use MAP data to identify students and <br> implement targeted small group or $1: 1$ <br> instruction using strategies mentioned above | Use MAP data to identify students and <br> implement targeted small group or $1: 1$ <br> instruction using strategies mentioned above |

## Targeting English Language Learners:

| In-person | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| ELL Strategist to facilitate professional | ELL Strategist to facilitate professional |
| development regarding SIOP strategies and | development regarding SIOP strategies and |
| scaffolding lessons. | scaffolding lessons. |
| Students will utilize Achieve 3000 software at | Students will utilize Achieve 3000 software at |
| an age appropriate level. | an age appropriate level. |
| K-2: Smarty Ants | K-2: Smarty Ants |
| 3-5: Kid Biz | 3-5: Kid Biz |
| ELL Strategist will provide small group | ELL Strategist will provide small group |
| intervention for students. | intervention for students via Zoom. |

## Chronic Absenteeism:

- Counselor and Student Success Advocate contact families and provide support as needed
- Attendance contracts with students \& parents
- Implement individualized incentives when necessary
- Provides families with research on the impact of absenteeism on learning
- Provide community resources
- Regularly update the community about the impacts of absenteeism and the advantages of regular attendance via Weekly Wednesday newsletter and social media platforms


## MS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21



Below are some of the major instructional implementation strategies for each indicator in the 2020-21 school year:

Recommended that each strategy have an in-person \& online implementation approach:

| Academic Achievement/Growth Median: <br> 1. ELA |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| In-person | Online |
| Utilize Instructional Coach to provide individualized teacher support: -Part Time ELA strategist | Utilize Instructional Coach to provide individualized teacher support: -Part Time ELA strategist |
| Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using curriculum with integrity (scaffolding the transition to using 1 curriculum in all MS) <br> - Ready ELA | Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using digital curriculum with integrity (scaffolding the transition to using 1 curriculum in all MS) <br> - Ready ELA |
| Provide targeted intervention with use of iReady. | Provide targeted intervention with use of iReady. |
| Continue on-going training on unwrapping priority standards | Continue on-going training on unwrapping priority standards |
| Addition of $6^{\text {th }}$ and $7^{\text {th }}$ grade Reading class to provide extended opportunity to focus on reading at grade level and establish structured literacy instruction. | Addition of $6^{\text {th }}$ and $7^{\text {th }}$ grade Reading class to provide extended opportunity to focus on reading at grade level and establish structured literacy instruction. |
| Grade levels use common assessments for priority standards for all classes | Grade levels use common assessments for priority standards for all classes |
| Implement the ELA Framework in all grade levels | Implement the ELA Framework in all grade levels |
|  | Provide professional development on the use of digital resources, programs, apps, and platforms. |

## MS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

| 2. Math |
| :--- |
| In-person Online <br> Utilize Instructional Coach to provide <br> individualized teacher support: <br> -Full Time K-8 Math Strategist Utilize Instructional Coach to provide <br> individualized teacher support: <br> -Full Time K-8 Math Strategist <br> Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using <br> curriculum with integrity <br> - Ready Math Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using digital <br> curriculum with integrity <br> Ready Math <br> Provide targeted intervention with use of <br> iReady. Provide targeted intervention with use of <br> iReady. <br> Continue on-going training on unwrapping <br> priority standards Continue on-going training on unwrapping <br> priority standards <br> Provide training on Ready Math to either <br> introduce the curriculum to new staff or <br> strengthen knowledge of current staff Provide training on Ready Math online <br> resources to either introduce the curriculum to <br> new staff or strengthen knowledge of current <br> staff <br> Grade levels use common assessments for <br> priority standards for all classes Grade levels use common assessments for <br> priority standards for all classes <br> Addition of $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade Math Boost class to <br> supplement students who were below <br> grade level in $6^{\text {th }}$ grade. Addition of 7th Grade Math Boost class to <br> supplement students who were below grade <br> level in 6 $6^{\text {th }}$ grade. <br> Implement the Math Framework in all <br> grade levels Implement the Math Framework in all grade <br> levels <br>  Provide professional development on the use of <br> digital resources, programs, apps, and platforms |

3. Science

| In-person | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using <br> curriculum with integrity (year 2 of <br> implementation) <br> FOSS | Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using digital <br> curriculum with integrity (year 2 of <br> implementation) <br> - FOSS |
| Continue on-going training on NGSS | Continue on-going training on NGSS |
| Provide training on FOSS to either <br> introduce the curriculum to new staff or <br> strengthen knowledge of current staff | Provide training on FOSS online resources to <br> either introduce the curriculum to new staff or <br> strengthen knowledge of current staff |
| Grade levels use common assessments for <br> priority standards for all classes | Grade levels use common assessments for <br> priority standards for all classes |
| Implement the Science Framework in all <br> grade levels | Implement the Science Framework in all grade <br> levels |
|  | Provide professional development on the use of <br> digital resources, programs, apps, and platforms |

## MS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

## Growth (AGP) Differentiated Instruction:

| In-person | Online |
| :---: | :---: |
| Students placed in a foundations course to provide daily math and ELA Intervention (40 minutes each) focused on Tier 2 \& Tier 3 targeted small group instruction using I/E Framework <br> All intervention will focus on utilization of iReady individualized pathways and iReady toolbox materials. | Daily math and ELA Intervention blocks (30 minutes each) focused on Tier 2 \& Tier 3 targeted small group instruction using I/E Framework <br> - IA will schedule zoom small group instruction when students are attending virtually (teachers provide scripted lessons) <br> - Students complete iReady lesson at their level |
| Use iReady \& MAP Data to flexibly adjust small group instruction, goals, and groups | Use iReady \& MAP Data to flexibly adjust small group instruction, goals, and groups |
| Advisory class for $8^{\text {th }}$ grade, Reading class for $6^{\text {th }}$ and $7^{\text {th }}$ grade meet each day to focus on academic progress and tracking of academic goals. <br> - Students will maintain a data folder. They will track iReady progress, MAP assessment scores and iReady assessment growth. Discussions with teacher 2 times a month. | Advisory class for $8^{\text {th }}$ grade, Reading class for $6^{\text {th }}$ and $7^{\text {th }}$ grade meet each day to focus on academic progress and tracking of academic goals. <br> Students will maintain a data folder. They will track iReady progress, MAP assessment scores and iReady assessment growth. Discussions with teacher 2 times a month. |

## Closing Opportunity Gaps (Instruction for non-proficient students):

| In-person | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| Use MAP data to identify students and implement <br> targeted small group or 1:1 instruction during <br> general instruction | Use MAP data to identify students and <br> implement targeted small group or 1:1 <br> via zoom |
| Students can be flexibly be moved in and out of <br> foundations courses for extra support as needed |  |

## Targeting English Language Learners:

| In-person | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| ELL Strategist to facilitate professional <br> development regarding SIOP strategies and <br> scaffolding lessons. | ELL Strategist to facilitate professional <br> development regarding SIOP strategies and <br> scaffolding lessons. |
| Students will utilize Achieve 3000 software at | Students will utilize Achieve 3000 software at <br> an age appropriate level. |
| an age appropriate level. |  |
| 6-8: Teen Biz | $6-8:$ Teen Biz |
| ELL Strategist will provide small group | ELL Strategist will provide small group <br> intervention for students via Zoom. |

## Chronic Absenteeism:

- Counselor and Student Success Advocate contact families and provide support as needed
- Attendance contracts with students \& parents
- Implement individualized incentives when necessary
- Provides families with research on the impact of absenteeism on learning
- Provide community resources
- Regularly update the community about the impacts of absenteeism and the advantages of regular attendance via Weekly Wednesday newsletter and social media platforms


## HS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

## All plans remain consistent regardless of in person or online learning.

| Targeted Area | Intervention/Support |
| :---: | :---: |
| Credit Sufficiency | $9^{\text {th }}$ grade and $10^{\text {th }}$ grade student progress reports will be monitored. Students failing courses will be placed with the new Intervention Counselor to provide targeted intervention. <br> Students who fail courses at the quarter will have required weekly meetings with Intervention counselor and a parent meeting will be scheduled. |
| ACT Growth | All courses will incorporate an ACT prep questions each day. <br> Math Strategist will provide support materials for teachers to ensure that all curriculum is designed to integrate ACT test questions. <br> Addition of an ACT Prep elective class for $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students. <br> $10^{\text {th }}$ grade Careers class will focus on ACT preparation through use of targeted ACT prep software. Students will investigate colleges and standardized test requirements. <br> ACT Pep Rally for $11^{\text {th }}$ grade the week of the test. |
| ELL Proficiency | ELL Strategist to facilitate professional development regarding SIOP strategies and scaffolding lessons. <br> Students will utilize Achieve 3000 software at an age appropriate level. <br> 9-12: Teen Biz <br> ELL Strategist will provide small group intervention for students. |
| CCR Attainment | Addition of two CTE strands - Business Marketing, Teaching and Training (with an additional strand to be added in 21-22) <br> All students will be required to achieve a CPR certification to meet the "professional certification requirement" <br> Counselors will discuss GPA requirements with students. |

## MS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline \text { Advanced Placement Courses/Participation } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Addition of a Part Time Advanced Placement } \\
\text { Strategist. He will focus on student test } \\
\text { preparation, teacher professional } \\
\text { development. }\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{l}\text { Total of 10 Advanced Placement courses } \\
\text { offered with the addition of the following } \\
\text { Advanced Placement courses in 20-21: } \\
\text {-AP Biology } \\
\text {-AP Psychology } \\
\text {-AP Comparative Politics }\end{array} \\
\hline \text { Attendance } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Counselors contact families and provide } \\
\text { support as needed }\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{l}\text { Attendance contracts with students \& parents } \\
\text { Implement individualized incentives when } \\
\text { necessary }\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{l}\text { Provides families with research on the impact } \\
\text { of absenteeism on learning }\end{array} \\
& \text { Provide community resources } \\
& \begin{array}{l}\text { Regularly update the community about the } \\
\text { impacts of absenteeism and the advantages }\end{array} \\
\hline \text { Cohort Graduation Rate } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Monitor student enrollment }\end{array}
$$ <br>
Ensure staff diligently verifies transfers to <br>

avoid unsuccessful transfers\end{array}\right\}\)| Provide credit retrieval opportunities to |
| :--- |
| students who fail to meet credit sufficiency |
| benchmarks. |

## 5 Star Timeline

## ANTICIPATED RATINGS TIMELINE

Meeting each of the aforementioned benchmarks will put Somerset Losee on the following timeline for achieving 5 Star status:

## ELEMENTARY

2019
2021
2022
2023
2024


MIDDLE SCHOOL

2019
2021
2022
2023
2024


HIGHSCHOOL


## SOMERSET ACADEMY NORTH LAS VEGAS

WINTER 2020

Reading \% of Students at Grade Level

On/Above 45\%

## Math \% of Students at Grade Level




Fall 2020

- At Risk for Tier 3

25\%

- Tier 2

30\%

- Tier 1

45\%

$24 \% \quad 21 \%$
$30 \%$
11\%
14\%
:
21\%
\%


Mid or Above Grade
Level

286 Students

- Early On Grade Level

250 Students

Two Grade Levels
Below

Three or More Grade Levels Below








Grades 4-5 Pooled AGP


AGP by Grade

## Grades 6-8 Pooled AGP



AGP by Grade
100

75


## Grades 4-5 Pooled GAP

GAP by Grade


## Grades 6-8 Pooled GAP



GAP by Grade

75



- At Risk for Tier 3

21\%
Number and Operations (NO)
© Tier 2
46\%
Algebra and Algebraic Thinking (ALG)
Measurement and Data (MS)

Tier 1
33\%


185 Students

- Early On Grade LevelOne Grade Level Below

216 Students
550 Students

134 Students
Two Grade Levels
Below
$46 \% \quad 11 \% \quad 10 \%$
TODOD

Three or More Grade
Levels Below








Grades 4-5 Pooled AGP


AGP by Grade


## Grades 6-8 Pooled AGP



AGP by Grade

50

25
28.5\%

## Grades 4-5 Pooled GAP



GAP by Grade


## Grades 6-8 Pooled GAP



GAP by Grade




Grades K-6 \% of Students On/Above

\% of Students On/Above by Grade

75

25

0

150

| Above |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Road to 5 Stars

## SOMERSET NORTH LAS VEGAS



Prepared by
CHRISTINA THREETON, PRINCIPAL
\& NLV ADMINISTRATION

## GOALS



1. To achieve 5 star status in the elementary school
2. To achieve 5 star status in the middle school

## ROAD TO 5 STARS



The destination: 5 star status at Somerset North Las Vegas at the elementary and middle school levels. The roadmap to our destination is provided in this proposal and is based on analysis of our current status and trajectory. It should be noted that our proposal includes realistic, yet rigorous benchmark goals for the next 5 years but that these goals will need to be revisited each year upon receiving the results of state testing. Updates should also be considered when, and if, there are any dramatic shifts in student population (ex. an enrollment increase). Progress towards goals should be observed through monitoring student interim assessment data (i.e. NWEA Map, iReady, etc.)

## Current Status

Most recent star ratings and state testing performance (2018-2019 school year). A status update will be provided upon analyzing our Fall 2020 Interim assessment data.

## Elementary

## Middle School

Somerset Academy North Las Vegas


What does my school rating mean?
Two-Star school: Idemities a school that has partially met the
state's standerd for performance. Suudents and subgroups often meet expectations for acadermic performance or growth but may have muitiple areas that require improvement. Areas requiring significant
improvement are uncommon. The school must submis an improvement improvement are uncommon, The schooi must subme an improvement
plan that identifies supports tailored to subgroups and indicators that
are below standard. are below standard.
How are school star ratings determined?
Schools receine points based on student performance across various indikatrs and Measures. These eoints are totaied and divided by the
points possible to produce an index score from 1-100. This index score is associated with a one. to fivestar school rating.
2018-2019 School Performance



How are star ratings determined based on total index
score? score?
At or above 27 but less than 27 * At or above 50 and less than 57 At or above 67 and less than 84

At or above 84 \#\# tht

Somerset Academy North Las Vegas School Year 2018-2019 Nevada School Rating


What does my school rating mean?
Four-Star school: Reccegnizes s commendable school that has performed well for all students and subgroups. A four star school students. Further, the school 15 suiceesstully promoting academic progress for al stadent groups sas refecected in cioming opportunity gaps. indicator.
How are school star ratings determined.
 poikators and Measures. These points are totaled and devided by the
poins
5 assible top oproduce an mindex score from $1-100$. This indee score
$\$ \mathrm{points}$ posside to produce an index score from 1.10
2018-2019 School Performance


## Academic Achievement

Below are performance benchmark goals for the next 5 years. Proficiency is historically the area that sees the smallest increases, even when coupled with high growth, especially at higher initial proficiency levels.

We do not know definitively what will happen with testing, but the following goals would be based on taking SBAC this Spring. This would mean that academic achievement data would be available but growth/ratings would not be available until 2022.
= Next potential rated year

## Elementary ELA

100

75

*Though there is a 2 year gap between 2019 \& 2021, a minimal benchmark increase was selected for ELA \& Math. This is due to early research which anticipates an average academic loss of 1 instructional year for each student as a result of quarantine during the COVID-19 epidemic.

Elementary
Science

## Elementary Math



75

50

0


## Growth Indicators

Student growth percentiles represent a Somerset student's growth compared to their academic peers across Nevada. The target median should not gradually increase but rather always strive to be greater than or equal to 65 .

Student growth percentiles represent a Somerset student's growth compared to their academic peers across Nevada. The target median should not gradually increase but rather always strive to be greater than or equal to 65 .

## = Next potential rated year

## Elementary ELA



## Elementary Math


$\geq 54=2021$ Math MGP Goal
$\geq 65$ = 2022-2024 Math MGP Goal

Adequate Growth Percentiles (AGP) reflects the percentage of students who hit their individual growth targets. Targets are based on either obtaining or maintaing proficiency. The higher the proficiency levels, the lower and more achieveable the targets.

## Elementary ELA



## Closing Opportunity Gaps

The Closing Opportunity Gaps indicator reflects the percentage of non-proficient students hitting their individual growth targets (AGP). Students do not necessarily have to achieve proficiency in order to hit their target, so rigorous improvements can be realistic goals.

## Elementary ELA



EL Proficiency


## Elementary Math

100



## Student Engagement



## Academic Achievement

Below are performance benchmark goals for the next 5 years. Proficiency is historically the area that sees the smallest increases, even when coupled with high growth, especially at higher initial proficiency levels.

We do not know definitively what will happen with testing, but the following goals would be based on taking SBAC this Spring. This would mean that academic achievement data would be available but growth/ratings would not be available until 2022.

## = Next potential rated year

## Middle School ELA



* Though there is a 2 year gap between 2019 \& 2021, a minimal benchmark increase was selected for ELA \& Math. This is due to early research which anticipates an average academic loss of 1 instructional year for each student as a result of quarantine during the COVID-19 epidemic.


## Middle School Math


100

75

50
42.7\%
47.7\%

53.7\%
56.7\%

## Growth Indicators

Student growth percentiles represent a Somerset student's growth compared to their academic peers across Nevada. The target median should not gradually increase but rather always strive to be greater than or equal to 65 .

Student growth indicators require 2 consecutive years of testing so the next likely year where this data would be received for SBAC would be in 2022. To track 2021 progress towards growth goals, interim data would need to be utilized.
= Next potential rated year

## Middle School ELA



Middle School Math

$\geq 65$
= 2021-2024 Math MGP Goal

Adequate Growth Percentiles (AGP) reflects the percentage of students who hit their individual growth targets. Targets are based on either obtaining or maintaing proficiency. The higher the proficiency levels, the lower and more achieveable the targets.

## Middle School ELA

$100 \longrightarrow 100$


Middle School Math

75


## Closing Opportunity Gaps

The Closing Opportunity Gaps indicator reflects the percentage of non-proficient students hitting their individual growth targets (AGP). Students do not necessarily have to achieve proficiency in order to hit their target, so rigorous improvements can be realistic goals.
= Next potential rated year

Middle School ELA


EL Proficiency


Middle School Math

100



## Student Engagement

16.1\% = 2019 Chronic Absenteeism \%
$\leq 13 \%$ ..... $=2021 \mathrm{Goal}$
$\leq 10 \%=2022$ Goal
$\leq 7 \%=2023-24$ Goal


Below are some of the major instructional implementation strategies for each indicator in the 2020-21 school year:

Recommended that each strategy have an in-person \& online implementation approach:

## 1. Academic Achievement/Growth Medians:

## ELA

- Students will be keeping track of their data in a data notebook and will be meeting with their teacher(s) frequently to discuss their progress and develop goals
- Continuing with Superkids program in K-2ND grade and adding in Heggerty Phonics daily instruction for Tier I instruction to support the foundational phonics base of our primary students. This will help all students grow towards proficiency especially our EL students.
- Continuing with Expeditionary Learning in Grades 35 that include rich and rigorous text, projects, discussions, and a connection between reading and writing. This will help all students grow towards proficiency especially our EL students.
- Implement the guided reading portion of the Expeditionary Learning program to enhance the differentiation and reading experiences of our students and to develop students love or reading fiction and nonfiction materials.
- Continue with iReady as an online component to meet students where they are and to set goals and monitor those goals monthly through progress monitoring using growth checks.
- Focus PD opportunities to assist teachers with planning and implementing the program with fidelity.


## Elementary Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

## MATH

- Students will be keeping track of their data in a data notebook and will be meeting with their teacher(s) frequently to discuss their progress and develop goals
- Continuing Ready Math K-8th which will bring continuity to our school
- Continue with iReady as an online component to meet students where they are and to set goals and monitor those goals monthly through progress monitoring using growth checks
- Focus on PD opportunities for using manipulatives and conceptual understanding to build teacher capacity


## SCIENCE

- Continue Mystery Science in grade K-3
- Introduce FOSS to grade 4-5
- Include Test Talk opportunities into grade 5 and 8 to prepare students for CRT
- Departmentalize in grade 4 and 5 to include Science into the daily routine that embeds Math and ELA opportunities


## 2. Growth (AGP) Differentiated Instruction:

- Students will be keeping track of their data in a data notebook and will be meeting with their teacher(s) frequently to discuss their progress and develop goals
- Develop better systems and structures for our RTI process
- Monitor every student using iReady growth checks once a month
- Include PLC planning into the calendar to allow teachers opportunities to discuss formative and summative assessments regularly to adjust for differentiation
- Implementing AIMS web as our Rtl progress monitoring tool for Tier 2/RBG3 students using MCOMP for Math and Fluency for Reading


## Elementary Implementation Strategies for 2020-21



Growth (AGP) Differentiated Instruction Continued:

- K-2 teachers will utilize the program FUNDATIONS for students that are in Tier 2/RBG3 to close the gap and differentiate instruction in small group reading
- 3-5 teachers will use the Ready Phonics to differentiate instruction for our Tier 2 students
- Teachers will provide small group time (in person or via Zoom) in ELA and Math and work with students at a variety of levels to extend the learning as well as reteach
- Students will rotate through Daily 5 in ELA and Math to build strong fluency and foundational skills


## 3. Closing Opportunity Gaps (Instruction for nonproficient students):

- After identifying the students in the Opportunity Gap, those students will be given targeted instruction and will be offered tutoring support before or after school/via Zoom and will meet with their teacher frequently to fill gaps in learning
- Saturday School/Bootcamp invites will be extended to these students first (depending on funding)


## 4. Chronic Absenteeism:

- Registrar and Community Engagement Liaison will work together to identify students that are nearing chronically absent status to troubleshoot with families and build relationships to identify needs so children may attend school (transportation, alarm clocks, assistance, etc)
- Community Engagement Liaison will make house calls and setup goals and individualized plans to ensure success


## MS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21



Recommended that each strategy have an in-person \& online implementation approach:

## 1. Academic Achievement/Growth Medians:

## ELA

- Students will be keeping track of their data in a data notebook and will be meeting with their teacher(s) frequently to discuss their progress and develop goals
- Continuing with Expeditionary Learning in Grades 6-8 that include rich and rigorous text, projects, discussions, and a connection between reading and writing. This will help all students grow towards proficiency especially our EL students.
- Purchased the guided reading portion of the Expeditionary Learning program to enhance the differentiation and reading experiences of our students and to develop students love or reading fiction and nonfiction materials.
- Continue with iReady as an online component to meet students where they are and to set goals and monitor those goals monthly through progress monitoring using growth checks.
- Focus PD opportunities to assist teachers with planning and implementing the program with fidelity
- Designed a block schedule to allow teachers the opportunity to connect on a deeper level for 80 minutes and allow students to focus on 4 classes a day
- Developed mandatory electives for students in Tier 2 Rtl (Intensives) that content area teachers will work in small groups to develop the necessary skills that students are missing to reach proficiency
- Students that are approaching proficiency are placed in an advisory class that will work as a tutoring/study hall to allow students to tutor one another and receive assistance from their teachers. This class will also be a test prep class where the teachers will go through practice questions from SBAC as well as allow student opportunities to complete iReady lessons.


## MS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21



## MATH

- Students will be keeping track of their data in a data notebook and will be meeting with their teacher(s) frequently to discuss their progress and develop goals
- Continuing Ready Math K-8th which will bring continuity to our school
- Continue with iReady as an online component to meet students where they are and to set goals and monitor those goals monthly through progress monitoring using growth checks
- Focus on PD opportunities for using manipulatives and conceptual understanding to build teacher capacity
- Designed a block schedule to allow teachers the opportunity to connect on a deeper level for 80 minutes and allow students to focus on 4 classes a day
- Developed mandatory electives for students in Tier 2 Rtl (Intensives) that content area teachers will work in small groups to develop the necessary skills that students are missing to reach proficiency
- Students that are approaching proficiency are placed in an advisory class that will work as a tutoring/study hall to allow students to tutor one another and receive assistance from their teachers. This class will also be a test prep class where the teachers will go through practice questions from SBAC as well as allow student opportunities to complete iReady lessons.


## SCIENCE

- Continue FOSS in grade 6-8 and all teachers will work on integrating review opportunities from previously required standards


## MS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21



## 2. Growth (AGP) Differentiated Instruction:

- Students will be keeping track of their data in a data notebook and will be meeting with their teacher(s) frequently to discuss their progress and develop goals
- Develop better systems and structures for our Rtl process
- Monitor every student using iReady growth checks once a month
- Include PLC planning into the calendar to allow teachers opportunities to discuss formative and summative assessments regularly to adjust for differentiation
- Teachers will provide small group time (in person or via Zoom) in ELA and Math and work with students at a variety of levels to extend the learning as well as reteach
- Students will rotate through small group stations/centers daily to review and extend opportunities for learning
- Students will attend mandatory intensive classes or advisory classes in order to work towards proficiency and meeting goals


## 3. Closing Opportunity Gaps (Instruction for nonproficient students):

- After identifying the students in the Opportunity Gap, those students will be given targeted instruction and will be offered tutoring support before or after school/via Zoom and will meet with their teacher frequently to fill gaps in learning
- Saturday School/Bootcamp invites will be extended to these students first (depending on funding)


## 4. Chronic Absenteeism

- Registrar and Community Engagement Liaison will work together to identify students that are nearing chronically absent status to troubleshoot with families and build relationships to identify needs so children may attend school (transportation, alarm clocks, assistance, etc)
- Community Engagement Liaison will make house calls and setup goals and individualized plans to ensure success


## 5 Star Timeline

## ANTICIPATED RATINGS TIMELINE

Meeting each of the aforementioned benchmarks will put Somerset North Las Vegas on the following timeline for achieving 5 Star status:

ELEMENTARY


MIDDLE SCHOOL


Index score = 71.5


Reading \% of Students at Grade Level


Math \% of Students at Grade Level












Grades 4-5 Pooled AGP


AGP by Grade


Grades 6-8 Pooled AGP


AGP by Grade
100

AGP Met
58.1\%

75


Grades 4-5 Pooled GAP


GAP by Grade
100

75


Grades 6-8 Pooled GAP


GAP by Grade
100

75

50




Fall 2020
$\square$ Winter 2021









| Lesson Time-on-Task (Custom) |  |  |  |  | \% Lessons Passed (Year-to-Date) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | - |  | Average Lesson Time-on-Task per Student | Students Using Instruction | Average \% Lessons Passed | $\hat{*}$ | 0-49\% | 50-69\% | 70-100\% | Students Completing Lessons |
| Grade K |  |  | 15h 1m | 126/130 | 92\% |  | 0\% | 2\% | 98\% | 126/130 |
| Grade 1 |  |  | 17h 43m | 130/130 | 97\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 130/130 |
| Grade 2 |  |  | 14h 30m | 129/130 | 94\% |  | 2\% | 2\% | 97\% | 129/130 |
| Grade 3 | Most time annual-to-date, only grade in green quadrant |  | 21h 2 m | 130/130 | 90\% |  | 0\% | 6\% | 94\% | 130/130 |
| Grade 4 |  |  | 16h 52m | 130/130 | 87\% |  | 0\% | 6\% | 94\% | 130/130 |
| Grade 5 |  |  | 14h 15m | 130/130 | 81\% |  | 1\% | 15\% | 85\% | 130/130 |
| Grade 6 |  |  | 6h 48m | 36/155 | 79\% |  | 5\% | 18\% | 77\% | 22/155 |
| Grade 7 |  |  | 12h 50m | 46/186 | 71\% |  | 9\% | 27\% | 64\% | 44/186 |
| Grade 8 |  |  | 10h 11m | 19/184 | 77\% |  | 0\% | 32\% | 68\% | 19/184 |

Grades 4-5 Pooled AGP


AGP by Grade


Grades 6-8 Pooled AGP


AGP by Grade

## 75



Grades 4-5 Pooled GAP


GAP by Grade
100

75


4th

Grades 6-8 Pooled GAP


## GAP by Grade

100

75

50


## Potential TSI Trigger

| Subgroup | N Size ELA Proficiency | ELA <br> Proficiency | N Size Math Proficiency | Math <br> Proficiency | N Size ELA MGP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { MGP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math MGP | Math MGP | N Size ELA AGP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { AGP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math AGP | MATH AGP | N Size ELA GAP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { GAP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math GAP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MATH } \\ & \text { GAP } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 38.0 | 1 | 98.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 |
| Asian | 6 | 66.6 | 6 | 66.6 | 3 | 55.0 | 3 | 95.0 | 3 | 66.6 | 3 | 100.0 | 0 | n/a | 1 | 100.0 |
| Black | 18 | 44.4 | 18 | 33.3 | 10 | 56.5 | 10 | 45.0 | 10 | 70.0 | 10 | 50.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 5 | 40.0 |
| Hispanic | 77 | 50.6 | 79 | 25.3 | 51 | 50.0 | 53 | 55.0 | 51 | 50.9 | 53 | 49.0 | 33 | 42.4 | 45 | 44.4 |
| Multirace | 47 | 51.0 | 47 | 36.1 | 33 | 48.0 | 33 | 54.0 | 33 | 57.5 | 33 | 54.5 | 18 | 55.5 | 20 | 50.0 |
| Pacific Islander | 3 | 33.3 | 3 | 33.3 | 3 | 9.0 | 3 | 61.0 | 3 | 33.3 | 3 | 33.3 | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| White | 230 | 65.2 | 233 | 48.4 | 152 | 61.5 | 155 | 76.0 | 152 | 69.7 | 155 | 72.9 | 68 | 60.2 | 75 | 60.0 |
| EL | 6 | 33.3 | 6 | 16.6 | 4 | 17.0 | 4 | 58.5 | 4 | 25.0 | 4 | 50.0 | 4 | 25.0 | 4 | 50.0 |
| SPED | 52 | 25.0 | 53 | 13.2 | 37 | 76.0 | 38 | 57.5 | 37 | 62.1 | 38 | 34.2 | 31 | 61.2 | 35 | 28.5 |

*Please be aware, this graph does not include potential TSI triggers for the following groups/categories:
FRL, WIDA, Chronic Absenteeism, or RBG3



## Potential TSI Trigger

| Subgroup | N Size ELA Proficiency | ELA Proficiency | N Size Math Proficiency | Math Proficiency | N Size ELA MGP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { MGP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math MGP | Math MGP | N Size ELA AGP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { AGP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math AGP | MATH AGP | N Size ELA GAP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { GAP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math GAP | MATH GAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | 3 | 66.6 | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 74.0 | 1 | 80.0 | 3 | 66.6 | 1 | 100.0 | 3 | 66.6 | 1 | 100.0 |
| Asian | 13 | 84.6 | 12 | 75.0 | 13 | 31.0 | 10 | 37.0 | 13 | 61.5 | 12 | 66.6 | 2 | 100.0 | 3 | 66.6 |
| Black | 24 | 50.0 | 26 | 7.6 | 24 | 52.0 | 25 | 34.0 | 24 | 50.0 | 26 | 30.7 | 14 | 35.7 | 22 | 22.7 |
| Hispanic | 108 | 49.0 | 109 | 29.3 | 108 | 38.5 | 105 | 50.0 | 108 | 46.2 | 109 | 39.4 | 59 | 28.8 | 69 | 26.0 |
| Multirace | 36 | 52.7 | 41 | 43.9 | 36 | 32.0 | 35 | 43.0 | 36 | 55.5 | 41 | 46.3 | 10 | 0.0 | 16 | 31.2 |
| Pacific Islander | 6 | 50.0 | 6 | 33.3 | 6 | 61.0 | 6 | 75.5 | 6 | 83.3 | 6 | 50.0 | 3 | 66.6 | 3 | 0.0 |
| White | 283 | 63.6 | 275 | 38.5 | 283 | 44.0 | 255 | 35.0 | 283 | 62.8 | 275 | 49.4 | 91 | 32.9 | 112 | 26.7 |
| EL | 8 | 25.0 | 8 | 25.0 | 8 | 20.5 | 8 | 13.0 | 8 | 25.0 | 8 | 25.0 | 6 | 16.6 | 5 | 0.0 |
| SPED | 61 | 24.5 | 59 | 16.9 | 61 | 30.0 | 59 | 32.0 | 61 | 29.5 | 59 | 28.8 | 47 | 17.0 | 48 | 16.6 |

*Please be aware, this graph does not include potential TSI triggers for the following groups/categories:
FRL, WIDA, Chronic Absenteeism, Academic Learning Plans, or 8th Grade Sufficiency


*his repersents the trojectory ofyour preediced index score based on NoEs current plan for testingrepeoring

| ACADEMC ACHIEVEMENT |  | 17/25 Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MATH PROFICIENCY | 41.6\% |  |
| Ela Proficiency | 59.1\% |  |
| SCience Proficiency | 48.7\% Used 2018-19 resuls a | 5 as possible indicator |
| Pooled Proficiency | 50.0\% | 13/20 Points |
| Read by Grade 3 | 55.8\% | $4 / 5$ Points |
|  | grade reaprad) | 315/35 Points |
| MATH MGP | 81 | 10/10 Points |
| ELA MGP | 57 | 7/10 Points |
| MATH AGP | 74.6\% | 7.577.5 Points |
| ELA AGP | 62.0\% | 77.5 Points |
| encushlanguage |  | A of 10 points |
| ELPA |  |  |
| CLOSING OPPortunity gaps | (Only sth gecte reported) | $19 / 20$ Points |
| мATH | 61.4\% | 10/10 Points |
| ela | 51.0\% | 9/10 Points |
| student engacement m/dememer |  | A of 10 points |
| Chronic Absentelism | Will not be | er erporeded in $2202-21$ |



## Road to 5 Stars

## SOMERSET SKY POINTE



Prepared by
LEE ESPLIN, PRINCIPAL
\& SKY POINTE ADMINISTRATION

## GOALS



1. To maintain 5 star status in the elementary school
2. To maintain 5 star status in the middle school
3. To achieve 5 star status in the high school

## ROAD TO 5 STARS



The destination: 5 star status at Somerset Sky Pointe at every school level. The roadmap to our destination is provided in this proposal and is based on analysis of our current status and trajectory. It should be noted that our proposal includes realistic, yet rigorous benchmark goals for the next 5 years but that these goals will need to be revisited each year upon receiving the results of state testing. Updates should also be considered when, and if, there are any dramatic shifts in student population (ex. an enrollment increase). Progress towards goals should be observed through monitoring student interim assessment data (i.e. NWEA Map, iReady, etc.)

## Current Status

Most recent star ratings and state testing performance (2018-2019 school year). A status update will be provided upon analyzing our Fall 2020 Interim assessment data.

## Elementary

## Middle School



Somerset Academy Sky Pointe


What does my school rating mean?
Five-Star schoot Recognizes a superior school that exceeds expectations for ali students and subgroups on every indicator catego
with litule or no exception. A five sar school demonstrates superior whth hatie or no exception. A five star sch oor demonstrates superior
academic performance and growth with no opportunity gaps. The school does not fail to meet expectations for any group on any indicato These schools are recognized for distinguished performance
How are school star ratings determined?
schools receive points bbsed on stutent performance accosss various
indicators and Measures. These points are totaled and didel Indicators and Measures. These points are totaled and divded by the
points possible to produce an index score from $1-100$. This index score is associated with a one- to five-star school rating
2018-2019 School Performance


## Current Status

Most recent star rating and state testing performance (2018-2019 school year). A status update will be provided upon analyzing our 2020 ACT and Fall 2020 Interim assessment data.

## High School



Sky Pointe High School's reporting issue led to an inaccurate rating. Below are the valid data points that would have resulted in a 4 star high school. Goals proposed will be based on these numbers.


## Academic Achievement

Below are performance benchmark goals for the next 5 years. Proficiency is historically the area that sees the smallest increases, even when coupled with high growth, especially at higher initial proficiency levels.

We do not know definitively what will happen with testing, but the following goals would be based on taking SBAC this Spring. This would mean that academic achievement data would be available but growth/ratings would not be available until 2022.
= Next potential rated year

## Elementary ELA

100

*Though there is a 2 year gap between 2019 \& 2021, a minimal benchmark increase was selected for ELA \& Math. This is due to early research which anticipates an average academic loss of 1 instructional year for each student as a result of quarantine during the COVID-19 epidemic.

## Elementary Math



75


Elementary Science

## Growth Indicators

Student growth percentiles represent a Somerset student's growth compared to their academic peers across Nevada. The target median should not gradually increase but rather always strive to be greater than or equal to 65 .

Student growth indicators require 2 consecutive years of testing so the next likely year where this data would be received for SBAC would be in 2022. To track 2021 progress towards growth goals, interim data would need to be utilized.
= Next potential rated year

## Elementary ELA



Elementary Math

$\geq 65=2021-2024$ Math MGP Goal

Adequate Growth Percentiles (AGP) reflects the percentage of students who hit their individual growth targets. Targets are based on either obtaining or maintaing proficiency. The higher the proficiency levels, the lower and more achieveable the targets.

## Elementary ELA

100


## Closing Opportunity Gaps

The Closing Opportunity Gaps indicator reflects the percentage of non-proficient students hitting their individual growth targets (AGP). Students do not necessarily have to achieve proficiency in order to hit their target, so rigorous improvements can be realistic goals.

## Elementary ELA



## Elementary Math



## Student Engagement

5.7\% = 2019 Chronic Absenteeism \%

$$
<5 \%=2021-2024 \text { Goal }
$$

Full pts were earned because the absenteeism
rate was decreased by >10\% of the prior year's rate

## Academic Achievement

Below are performance benchmark goals for the next 5 years. Proficiency is historically the area that sees the smallest increases, even when coupled with high growth, especially at higher initial proficiency levels.

We do not know definitively what will happen with testing, but the following goals would be based on taking SBAC this Spring. This would mean that academic achievement data would be available but growth/ratings would not be available until 2022.

## = Next potential rated year

## Middle School ELA

100


* Though there is a 2 year gap between 2019 \& 2021, a minimal benchmark increase was selected for ELA \& Math. This is due to early research which anticipates an average academic loss of 1 instructional year for each student as a result of quarantine during the COVID-19 epidemic.


## Middle School

 Science75



100

75


## Growth Indicators

Student growth percentiles represent a Somerset student's growth compared to their academic peers across Nevada. The target median should not gradually increase but rather always strive to be greater than or equal to 65 .

Student growth indicators require 2 consecutive years of testing so the next likely year where this data would be received for SBAC would be in 2022. To track 2021 progress towards growth goals, interim data would need to be utilized.
= Next potential rated year

## Middle School ELA



## Middle School Math

$\geq 65=2021-2024$ Math MGP Goal

Adequate Growth Percentiles (AGP) reflects the percentage of students who hit their individual growth targets. Targets are based on either obtaining or maintaing proficiency. The higher the proficiency levels, the lower and more achieveable the targets.

## Middle School ELA



Middle School Math

100


## Closing Opportunity Gaps

The Closing Opportunity Gaps indicator reflects the percentage of non-proficient students hitting their individual growth targets (AGP). Students do not necessarily have to achieve proficiency in order to hit their target, so rigorous improvements can be realistic goals.

## Middle School ELA



Middle School Math

100

75


## Student Engagement

$2.9 \%=2019$ Chronic Absenteeism \%
$<5 \%=2021-2024$ Goal

## Academic Achievement

Below are performance benchmark goals for the next 5 years. Proficiency is historically the area that sees the smallest increases, even when coupled with high growth, especially at higher initial proficiency levels.

## High School ELA

## High School Math

100

*2020 ACT results and benchmarks may be adjusted slightly after data validation process

> *High schoolers are also anticipated to experience instructional losses due to the COVID-19 quarantine. This was factored into increases.

75
100


5

50

## Graduation Rates

The 4-year cohort is the \% of total students in the 4-year graduating class receiving a diploma (ex. seniors who graduated in 2020). The 5 -year cohort is the \% of total students whose OYOG was the year prior but they received their diploma with the next graduating class (ex. they were part of the 2019 cohort but graduated with the 2020 cohort). *HS grad rates lag a year behind.

## 5-Year ACGR


$\geq 95 \%=2020-20244$-Year ACGR

## College \& Career Readiness

Post-Secondary Preparation Participation and Completion is based on students meeting the criteria for participating and completing AP, IB, DC/DE, and/or CTE courses.

## Post-Secondary Prep.

Participation

100


## Post-Secondary Prep.

Completion

100

75


## Advanced or CCR Diploma

A school's rate for this Measure is their percentage of graduates with an Advanced or CCR Diploma.



## Student Engagement

8.8\%
= 2019 Chronic Absenteeism \%
$<5 \%$

## Elementary Implementation Strategies for 2020-21



Below are some of the major instructional implementation strategies for each indicator in the 2020-21 school year:

Recommended that each strategy have an in-person \& online implementation approach:

## 1. Academic Achievement/Growth Medians:

## ELA

In Person:

- Wonders Core Reading Program K-5
- Fundations Tier one phonics instructional supplement to the core for grades K-2
- Heggery Phonological and phonemic awareness instructional supplement to the core for grades K and 1
Online:
- Amplify Reading grades K-5
- NewsELA grades 2-5
- Digital Readworks.org grades K-5
- MyOn (including Accelerated Reader) Grades K-5


## MATH

In Person:

- Go Math!
- Go Math! K-5

Online:

- Reflex Math K-5
- IXL Math- 5th grade


## SCIENCE

In Person:

- Science A-Z
- Picture Perfect Science
- Mystery Science
- TCI Science- 5th grade only

Online:

- Mystery Science
- NewsELA science resources
- Digital Readworks.org science resources
- BrainPop


## Elementary Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

## 2. Growth (AGP) Differentiated Instruction:

In Person:

- Wonders Differentiated small group lessons
- MCLASS Differentiated small group lessons
- Reading A-Z small group lessons
- Florida Center for Reading Research Student Center Activities
Online:
- Reflex independent practice for math
- Amplify Reading online practice component for reading


## 3. Closing Opportunity Gaps (Instruction for nonproficient students):

In Person:

- IReady small group instruction for math RTI
- MCLASS Intervention- small group targeted research-based reading intervention for students below the 40th percentile on MAP and in the atrisk category on DIBELs-8
- Wonders Differentiated small group lessons
- Fundations Tier II intervention lessons
- MCLASS Differentiated small group lessons
- West Virginia Explicit Phonics Lessons
- Reading A-Z small group lessons
- Florida Center for Reading Research Student Center Activities


## Online

- IReady online practice component for math grades K-4
- ALEX online intervention for math grade 5
- Reflex independent practice for math
- Amplify Reading online practice component for reading


## 4. Chronic Absenteeism:

- Continued monitoring of absenteeism
- Teacher contact with parents when students have an extended absence
- Full time attendance clerk to make phone calls and send emails regarding student absences
- Meetings held by Administration with students and parents with excessive absences


## MS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21



Below are some of the major instructional implementation strategies for each indicator in the 2020-21 school year:

Recommended that each strategy have an in-person \& online implementation approach:

## 1. Academic Achievement/Growth Medians:

## ELA

In Person/Online:

- Content/grade level instructional planning, collaboration, and common assessment aligned with standards
- Use of Springboard College Ready curriculum
- Content area MS collaboration and horizontal alignment
- Data analysis for effective instruction and improvement
- Increase student engagement strategies such as Kagan and Marzano
- Student tracking of growth and goals
- Implementation of SBAC testing engagement, readiness, and strategies


## MATH

In Person/Online:

- Content/grade level instructional planning, collaboration, and common assessment aligned with standards
- iReady Math curriculum
- Content area MS collaboration and horizontal alignment
- Data analysis for effective instruction and improvement
- Increase student engagement strategies such as Kagan and Marzano
- Student tracking of growth and goals
- Implementation of SBAC testing engagement, readiness, and strategies


## MS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

## SCIENCE

In Person/Online:

- Collaborative planning of MS science teachers with the standards
- Implement hands on science activities to teach and enforce science concepts
- Use of interactive notebooks for student engagement, note taking, tracking, and responsibility
- Increase student engagement strategies such as Kagan and Marzano
- Student tracking of growth and goals
- Spiral review of curriculum


## 2. Growth (AGP) Differentiated Instruction:

In Person/Online:

- Differentiate instruction and increase effective instruction supported in all areas through content level collaboration and planning, coaching support for teachers, and mentor program for new teachers.
- Advisory period used to place identified students in SBAC Bootcamp
- Collaborative discussion and grade level planning for students' needs
- Integrated instruction between content areas
- Increase student engagement strategies such as Kagan and Marzano


## 3. Closing Opportunity Gaps (Instruction for nonproficient students):

In Person/Online:

- ELA: Continue to assist low achieving students fill gaps with ELA Foundations class as an elective. Classes to remain at 15-20 students. Individual, small group, and whole group instruction.
- MATH: Continue to assist low achieving students fill gaps with the Math Boost class as an elective. Classes to remain at 13-16 students.
- BOTH: Student weakness/gaps identified and worked with to strengthen; Foundational skills strengthened; Concepts reinforced and spiraled


## MS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21



## ONLINE

- Teachers will continue to teach, monitor growth, and support students through use of Zoom, online programs, and teacher guided activities. Teacher support of each other, planning, and collaboration to continue.


## 4. Chronic Absenteeism:

- Continued monitoring of absenteeism
- Teacher contact with parents when students have an extended absence
- Full time attendance clerk to make phone calls and send emails regarding student absences
- Meetings held by Administration with students and parents with excessive absences


## HS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21



## 1. Academic Achievement:

## ELA

- Content/grade level instructional planning, collaboration, and common assessment aligned with Common Core Standards
- Use of College Board ELA Springboard Curriculum
- Use of AP College Board ELA Curriculum
- Collaboration within ELA Department, focus on standards
- Create and Evaluate Common Assessments
- Common Formative and Summative Assessments
- Data analysis for effective instruction and improvement
- Increase student engagement strategies such as
- Kagan and Marzano
- Student tracking of growth and goals-Use MAP effectively to focus on strands/standards
- Alignment and Collaboration with Social Studies Department
- Embed ACT questions within instruction


## HS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21



## MATH

- Content/grade level instructional planning, collaboration, and common assessment aligned with Common Core Standards
- Use of enVision Math Curriculum for Algebra I, Algebra II, Basic Math
- Use of AP College Board Math Curriculum
- Collaboration within Math Department, focus on standard based instruction
- Create and Evaluate Common Assessments
- Common Formative and Summative Assessments
- Data analysis for effective instruction and improvement
- Increase student engagement strategies such as Kagan and Marzano
- Student tracking of growth and goals-Use MAP effectively to focus on strands/standards
- Use of Kuta software to help with interventions
- Alignment and Collaboration with Science department including spiral review
- Embed ACT questions within instruction


## HS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

## Science

- Content/grade level instructional planning, collaboration, and common assessment aligned with Common Core Standards
- Use of textbook and lab materials effectively within the department
- Use of AP College Board Science Curriculum
- Collaboration within Science Department, focus on standard based instruction
- Create and Evaluate Common Assessments
- Common Formative and Summative Assessments
- Data analysis for effective instruction and improvement
- Increase student engagement strategies such as Kagan and Marzano
- Student tracking of growth and goals-Use MAP effectively to focus on strands/standards
- Use of appropriate software to help with interventions
- Alignment and Collaboration with Math department
- Spiral review of curriculum
- Embed ACT questions within instruction


## HS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21



## CTE

Additional Courses including-
Sports Medicine/Health Science
Teacher in Training
Build upon current CTE course-
Business Marketing
Graphic Design
Photography

## Dual Enrollment

Addition of Dual Enrollment with College of Southern Nevada

Students must take 4 courses on-campus if of Junior status

Students must take 2 courses on-campus if of Senior status

## 2. Differentiated Instruction

Differentiate instruction and increase effective instruction supported in all areas through content level collaboration and planning, coaching support for teachers, and mentor program for new teachers.

Advisory period used for ACT Prep
ACT Work Keys Course
Collaborative discussion and grade level planning for students' needs Integrated instruction between content areas Increase student engagement strategies such as Kagan and Marzano

## HS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

## 3. Closing Opportunity Gaps (Instruction for nonproficient students):

ELA

- WIDI course/instruction to WIDA students;
- Alignment of instruction, tracking and interventions with all WIDA students/teachers
- Foundational skills strengthened
- Concepts reinforced and spiraled


## MATH

- Students placed appropriately in courses to build foundational skills
- Spiral review within instruction
- Tutoring before and after school
- ACT practice during advisory


## ONLINE

Teachers will continue to teach, monitor growth, and support students through use of Zoom, online programs, and teacher guided activities. Teacher support of each other, planning, and collaboration to continue.

## HS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

## 4. Chronic Absenteeism

- Continued monitoring of absenteeism-quicker response to those who are absent and why they are absent
- Teacher contact with parents when students have an extended absence
- Full time attendance clerk to make phone calls and send emails regarding student absences
- Meetings held by Administration with students and parents with excessive absences


## 5 Star Timeline

## ANTICIPATED RATINGS TIMELINE

Meeting each of the aforementioned benchmarks will put Somerset Sky Pointe on the following timeline for maintaining/achieving 5 Star status:

## ELEMENTARY

2019
2021

2022
2023
2024


Index score = 88.8
HIGHSCHOOL


SOMERSET ACADEMY SKYE CANYON

WINTER 2021

Reading \% of Students at Grade Level


## Math \% of Students

## at Grade Level









99.0
74.5



Grades 4-5 Pooled AGP


AGP by Grade

## Grades 6-8 Pooled AGP

AGP by Grade


75

AGP Met
50.8\%

100


Grades 4-5 Pooled GAP



GAP by Grade

35.2\%

Grades 6-8 Pooled GAP


75

50











Grades 4-5 Pooled AGP


## AGP by Grade



Grades 6-8 Pooled AGP

AGP by Grade

Grades 4-5 Pooled GAP


75

50


## Grades 6-8 Pooled GAP

AGP Met


AGP Not Met
78.3\%

## GAP by Grade



## Potential TSI Trigger

| Subgroup | N Size ELA Proficiency | ELA <br> Proficiency | N Size Math Proficiency | Math Proficiency | N Size ELA MGP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { MGP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math MGP | Math MGP | N Size ELA AGP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { AGP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math AGP | MATH AGP | N Size ELA GAP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { GAP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math GAP | MATH GAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A |
| Asian | 14 | 71.4 | 14 | 71.4 | 9 | 35.0 | 9 | 54.0 | 9 | 55.5 | 9 | 55.5 | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Black | 12 | 16.6 | 12 | 25.0 | 9 | 22.0 | 9 | 20.0 | 9 | 11.1 | 9 | 22.2 | 4 | 0.0 | 5 | 40.0 |
| Hispanic | 74 | 51.3 | 74 | 31.0 | 45 | 20.0 | 45 | 38.0 | 45 | 48.8 | 45 | 33.3 | 22 | 31.8 | 25 | 24.0 |
| Multirace | 41 | 46.3 | 41 | 19.5 | 25 | 45.0 | 25 | 32.0 | 25 | 60.0 | 25 | 28.0 | 9 | 33.3 | 16 | 18.7 |
| Pacific Islander | 5 | 20.0 | 5 | 40.0 | 4 | 17.0 | 4 | 49.5 | 4 | 25.0 | 4 | 25.0 | 3 | 33.3 | 3 | 0.0 |
| White | 161 | 49.6 | 160 | 26.8 | 111 | 41.0 | 110 | 37.5 | 111 | 48.6 | 110 | 40.0 | 65 | 38.4 | 74 | 33.7 |
| EL | 7 | 28.5 | 7 | 0.0 | 3 | 83.0 | 3 | 31.0 | 3 | 66.6 | 3 | 0.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| SPED | 50 | 36.0 | 50 | 22.0 | 32 | 41.0 | 37 | 42.0 | 32 | 43.7 | 32 | 34.3 | 26 | 38.4 | 27 | 29.6 |

*Please be aware, this graph does not include potential TSI triggers for the following groups/categories: FRL, WIDA, Chronic Absenteeism, or RBG3



## Potential TSI Trigger

| Subgroup | N Size ELA Proficiency | ELA <br> Proficiency | N Size Math Proficiency | Math Proficiency | N Size ELA MGP | ELA <br> MGP | N Size Math MGP | Math MGP | N Size ELA AGP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { AGP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math AGP | MATH AGP | N Size ELA GAP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { GAP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math GAP | MATH GAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A |
| Asian | 26 | 69.2 | 26 | 53.8 | 26 | 43.5 | 26 | 44.0 | 26 | 46.1 | 26 | 57.6 | 9 | 22.2 | 11 | 27.2 |
| Black | 22 | 50.0 | 22 | 27.2 | 22 | 22.5 | 22 | 44.0 | 22 | 40.9 | 22 | 31.8 | 6 | 33.3 | 10 | 10.0 |
| Hispanic | 87 | 52.8 | 87 | 29.8 | 87 | 31.0 | 87 | 52.0 | 87 | 48.2 | 87 | 44.8 | 35 | 34.2 | 47 | 27.6 |
| Multirace | 36 | 55.5 | 36 | 41.6 | 36 | 44.0 | 36 | 41.5 | 36 | 50.0 | 36 | 38.8 | 12 | 25.0 | 16 | 18.7 |
| Pacific Islander | 6 | 66.6 | 6 | 33.3 | 6 | 72.5 | 6 | 75.0 | 6 | 50.0 | 6 | 50.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| White | 185 | 60.0 | 185 | 33.5 | 185 | 49.0 | 185 | 42.0 | 185 | 54.0 | 185 | 41.0 | 52 | 38.4 | 70 | 20.0 |
| EL | 7 | 28.5 | 8 | 12.5 | 8 | 26.0 | 8 | 76.0 | 8 | 25.0 | 8 | 25.0 | 6 | 33.3 | 8 | 25.0 |
| SPED | 50 | 36.0 | 37 | 18.9 | 37 | 32.0 | 37 | 42.0 | 37 | 29.7 | 37 | 27.0 | 26 | 23.0 | 26 | 15.3 |

*Please be aware, this graph does not include potential TSI triggers for the following groups/categories:
FRL, WIDA, Chronic Absenteeism, Academic Learning Plans, or 8th Grade Sufficiency




## Road to 5 Stars

## SOMERSET SKYE CANYON



Prepared by
KATE LACKEY, PRINCIPAL
\& SKYE CANYON ADMINISTRATION

## GOALS



1. To maintain 5 star status in the elementary school
2. To maintain 5 star status in the middle school

## ROAD TO 5 STARS



The destination: 5 star status at Somerset Skye Canyon at the elementary and middle school levels. The roadmap to our destination is provided in this proposal and is based on analysis of our current status and trajectory. It should be noted that our proposal includes realistic, yet rigorous benchmark goals for the next 5 years but that these goals will need to be revisited each year upon receiving the results of state testing. Updates should also be considered when, and if, there are any dramatic shifts in student population (ex. an enrollment increase). Progress towards goals should be observed through monitoring student interim assessment data (i.e. NWEA Map, iReady, etc.)

## Current Status

Most recent star ratings and state testing performance (2018-2019 school year). A status update will be provided upon analyzing our Fall 2020 Interim assessment data.

## Elementary

## Middle School

## Somerset Skye Canyon

| Schoo/ Level: Elementary School |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| Grode | OK-08 |
| Leves; |  |
| District: | State Public Charter School |
| Authority |  |
| School | 8151 N Shaumber Rd |
| Address: | Las Vegas, NV 89166 |



What does my school rating mean?
Five-Star school: Recognizes a superior school that exceeds expectations for all students and subbergops on every indicatod rategon
with litte or no exception. A five star school demonstrates superior academic performance and growth wath no opportunity gaps. The school does not fail to meet expectations for aryy yroup on any indicator.
These schoots are recognied for disting uished performance.
How are school star ratings determined?
Schools receive points based on student performance across various
 points possibibe to produce an index score from 11.100 . This index score
2018-2019 School Performance



## Academic Achievement

Below are performance benchmark goals for the next 5 years. Proficiency is historically the area that sees the smallest increases, even when coupled with high growth, especially at higher initial proficiency levels.

We do not know definitively what will happen with testing, but the following goals would be based on taking SBAC this Spring. This would mean that academic achievement data would be available but growth/ratings would not be available until 2022.

## = Next potential rated year

## Elementary ELA

Elementary Science

100


* Though there is a 2 year gap between 2019 \& 2021, a minimal benchmark increase was selected for ELA \& Math. This is due to early research which anticipates an average academic loss of 1 instructional year for each student as a result of quarantine during the COVID-19 epidemic. CoVID-19 epidemic.


## Growth Indicators

Student growth percentiles represent a Somerset student's growth compared to their academic peers across Nevada. The target median should not gradually increase but rather always strive to be greater than or equal to 65.

Student growth indicators require 2 consecutive years of testing so the next likely year where this data would be received for SBAC would be in 2022. To track 2021 progress towards growth goals, interim data would need to be utilized.
= Next potential rated year

## Elementary ELA

$55.5=2019$ ELA MGP

$\geq 65=2022-2024$ ELA MGP Goal

## Elementary Math

$\geq 65=2022-2024$ Math MGP Goal

Adequate Growth Percentiles (AGP) reflects the percentage of students who hit their individual growth targets. Targets are based on either obtaining or maintaing proficiency. The higher the proficiency levels, the lower and more achieveable the targets.

## Elementary ELA



## Elementary Math

100


## Closing Opportunity Gaps

The Closing Opportunity Gaps indicator reflects the percentage of non-proficient students hitting their individual growth targets (AGP). Students do not necessarily have to achieve proficiency in order to hit their target, so rigorous improvements can be realistic goals.

## Elementary ELA



## Elementary Math

100



## Student Engagement

## Academic Achievement

Below are performance benchmark goals for the next 5 years. Proficiency is historically the area that sees the smallest increases, even when coupled with high growth, especially at higher initial proficiency levels.

We do not know definitively what will happen with testing, but the following goals would be based on taking SBAC this Spring. This would mean that academic achievement data would be available but growth/ratings would not be available until 2022 .

## = Next potential rated year

## Middle School ELA

100


* Though there is a 2 year gap between 2019 \& 2021, a minimal benchmark increase was selected for ELA \& Math. This is due to early research which anticipates an average academic loss of 1 instructional year for each student as a result of quarantine during the COVID-19 epidemic.


## Middle School Math



100

75


321

## Growth Indicators

Student growth percentiles represent a Somerset student's growth compared to their academic peers across Nevada. The target median should not gradually increase but rather always strive to be greater than or equal to 65.

Student growth indicators require 2 consecutive years of testing so the next likely year where this data would be received for SBAC would be in 2022. To track 2021 progress towards growth goals, interim data would need to be utilized.
= Next potential rated year

## Middle School ELA

$55=2019$ ELA MGP
$\geq 65=2022-2024$ ELA MGP Goal

Middle School Math
$51.5=2019$ Math MGP
$\geq 65=2022-2024$ Math MGP Goal

Adequate Growth Percentiles (AGP) reflects the percentage of students who hit their individual growth targets. Targets are based on either obtaining or maintaing proficiency. The higher the proficiency levels, the lower and more achieveable the targets.

Middle School ELA

100


Middle School Math

100


## Closing Opportunity Gaps

The Closing Opportunity Gaps indicator reflects the percentage of non-proficient students hitting their individual growth targets (AGP). Students do not necessarily have to achieve proficiency in order to hit their target, so rigorous improvements can be realistic goals.

```
= Next potential rated year
```


## Middle School ELA



## Middle School Math



## Student Engagement

1.9\%
$=2019$ Chronic Absenteeism \%
$<5 \%$
= 2022-2024 Coal

## Elementary Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

Recommended that each strategy have an in-person \& online implementation approach:

## Academic Achievement/Growth Median:

1. English Language Arts

| In-person | Online |
| :---: | :---: |
| Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using curriculum with integrity <br> - CKLA (K-5) <br> - Step Up to Writing (K-5) | Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using curriculum with integrity <br> - CKLA (K-5) <br> - Step Up to Writing (K-5) |
| Identify and unwrap priarity standards | Identify and unwrap priority standards |
| Provide training on CKLA \& Step Up to Writing to either introduce the curriculum to new staff or strengthen knowledge of current staff | Provide training on CKLA \& Step Up to Writing to either introduce the curriculum to new staff or strengthen knowledge of current staff |
| Grade levels align common assessments from curriculum to priority standards | Grade levels align common assessments from curriculum to priority standards |
|  | Provide professional development on the use of digital resources, programs, apps, and platforms |

## 2. Mathematics

| In-person | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using curriculum <br> with integrity <br> - Everyday Mathematics | Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using digital <br> curriculum with integrity <br> - Everyday Mathematics |
| Identify and unwrap priority standards | Identify and unwrap priority standards |
| Provide training on Everyday Math to either <br> introduce the curriculum to new staff or <br> strengthen knowledge of current staff | Provide training on Everyday Math online <br> resources to either introduce the curriculum <br> to new staff or strengthen knowledge of <br> current staff |
| Grade levels align common assessments <br> from curriculum to priority standards | Grade levels align common assessments <br> from curriculum to priority standards |
|  | Provide professional development on the use <br> of digital resources, programs, apps, and <br> platforms |

## Elementary Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

## 3. Science

| In-person | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using curriculum <br> with integrity <br> $\bullet$ <br> - FOSS K-5 <br> CKLA (Science Content) K-5 | Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using digital <br> curriculum with integrity <br> $\bullet$ <br> - FOSS K-5 |
| CKLA (Science Content) K-5 |  |

## Growth (AGP) Differentiated Instruction:

| In-person | Online |
| :---: | :---: |
| Daily mathematics and ELA Intervention \& Extension (I/E) blocks ( 30 minutes) focused on Tier 2 \& Tier 3 targeted small group instruction using the following materials: <br> ELA <br> Burst, Amplify Reading, Accelerated Reader Mathematics <br> Everyday Mathematics Enrichment \& Extra Practice Activities <br> - IAs, Literacy Specialist and Curriculum Coach will provide small group instruction for RTI/Read by Grade 3 students as needed | Daily mathematics and ELA Intervention \& Extension blocks ( 30 minutes each) focused on Tier 2 \& Tier 3 targeted small group instruction using <br> - Literacy Specialist, Curriculum Coach, and instructional assistants will schedule zoom small group instruction and provide digital interventions when students are in extended learning as needed <br> - Students participate with digital tools (e.g., Amplify Reading, MyON, Google Classroom, Khan Academy, etc.) |
|  | Read by 3 literacy specialist, curriculum coach, and instructional assistants will meet with small groups via Zoom focused on their RTI/Read by 3 goal, |
| Use DIBELS, MCOMP, \& MAP Data to flexibly adjust small group instruction, goals, and groups | Use DIBELS, MCOMP, \& MAP Data to flexibly adjust small group instruction, goals, and groups |
| Explicit Phonics instruction | Explicit Phonics instruction |
| Data Driven Instructional Action Planning after all benchmark windows <br> - Identify bubble/target students <br> - Teachers plan and evaluate results from each action plan with supervisor, curriculum coach, and literacy specialist | Data Driven Instructional Action Planning after all benchmark windows <br> - Identify bubble/target students <br> - Teachers plan and evaluate results from each action plan with supervisor, curriculum coach, and literacy specialist |
| Ongoing LETRS Training <br> Cohort 1 will train Cohort 2 in Units 1-4 <br> Cohort 1 will continue Units 5-8 | Ongoing LETRS Training Cohort 1 will train Cohort 2 in Units 1-4 Cohort 1 will continue Units 5-8 |

## Elementary Implementation Strategies for 2020-21



Closing Opportunity Gaps (Instruction for non-proficient students):

| In-person | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| Use MAP data to identify students and <br> implement targeted small group or $1: 1$ <br> instruction using strategies mentioned above | Use MAP data to identify students and <br> implement targeted small group or $1: 1$ <br> instruction using strategies mentioned above |
| Small group instruction using the following <br> programs: Wilson Reading System, Burst, <br> LETRS activities, Kathy Richardson, Digi | Small group instruction using the following <br> programs: Wilson Reading System, Burst, <br> LETRS activities, Kathy Richardson, Digi |
| Blocks |  |$\quad$| Blocks |
| :--- |

## Chronic Absenteeism:

- Teachers will contact administration and registrar for students with attendance concerns and designated administrator will contact and follow-up with families as needed
- Counselor and Safe School Professional will contact families and provide support as needed
- Support Strategies
- Attendance contracts with students \& parents
- Implement individualized incentives when necessary
- Provides families with research on the impact of absenteeism on learning
- Provide community resources


## MS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

Academic Achievement/Growth Median:

1. English Language Arts \& Social Studies

| In-person | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strengthen Tier 1 instruction using curriculum <br> with integrity <br> - StudySync - (6-8) <br> TCI (6-8) | Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using digital <br> curriculum with integrity <br> - StudySync - (6-8) |
| Identify and unwrap priority standards | TCI (6-8) |

2. Mathematics

| In-person | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using curriculum <br> with integrity <br> CMP3 (6-8; Algebra I) | Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using digital <br> curriculum with integrity <br> CMP3 (6-8; Algebra I) |
| Identify and unwrap prierity standards | Identify and unwrap priority standards |
| Provide training on CMP3 to either introduce <br> the curriculum to new staff or strengthen <br> knowledge of current staff | Provide training on CMP3 online resources to <br> either introduce the curriculum to new staff or <br> strengthen knowledge of current staff |
| Grade levels align common assessments <br> from curriculum to priority standards | Grade levels align common assessments <br> from curriculum to priority standards |
|  | Provide professional development on the use <br> of digital resources, programs, apps, and <br> platforms |

## 3. Science

| In-person | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using curriculum <br> with integrity <br> $\bullet ~ A m p l i f y ~ S c i e n c e ~(6-8) ~$ | Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using digital <br> curriculum with integrity <br> $\bullet$ <br> Amplify Science (6-8) |
| Continue on-going training on NGSS | Continue on-going training on NGSS |
| Provide training on Amplify Science to either <br> introduce the curriculum to new staff or <br> strengthen knowledge of current staff | Provide training on Amplify Science online <br> resources to either introduce the curriculum <br> to new staff or strengthen knowledge of <br> current staff |
| Grade levels align common assessments <br> from curriculum to priority standards | Grade levels align common assessments <br> from curriculum to priority standards |
|  | Provide professional development on the use <br> of digital resources, programs, apps, and <br> platforms |

## MS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

|  | Growth (AGP) Differentiated Instruction: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In-person | Online |
|  $x_{3}+y_{2}^{2}=$ <br> $4+c$ 3 <br> $=\frac{x^{3}+y^{3}}{2^{3}}$ | - Students will receive small group instruction in ELA and mathematics <br> - Students placed in an intensive mathematics or intensive reading intervention course to provide mathematics and ELA Intervention (30 minutes each) focused on Tier 2 \& Tier 3 targeted small group instruction | Daily mathematics and ELA Intervention \& Extension blocks (30 minutes each) focused on Tier 2 \& Tier 3 targeted small group instruction using <br> - Teachers, literacy specialist, curriculum coach, and instructional assistants will schedule zoom small group instruction and provide digital interventions when students are in extended learning as needed <br> - Students participate with digital tools (e.g., Khan Academy, Newsela, Google Classroom, etc.) |
| $N^{4}-z^{5} x$ | Use DIBELS, MCOMP, \& MAP Data to flexibly adjust small group instruction, goals, and groups | Use DIBELS, MCOMP, \& MAP Data to flexibly adjust small group instruction, goals, and groups |
| $x y 2$ | Data Driven Instructional Action Planning after all benchmark windows <br> - Identify bubble/target students <br> - Teachers plan and evaluate results from each action plan with supervisor, curriculum coach, and literacy specialist | Data Driven Instructional Action Planning after all benchmark windows <br> - Identify bubble/target students <br> - Teachers plan and evaluate results from each action plan with supervisor, curriculum coach, and literacy specialist |

## Closing Opportunity Gaps (Instruction for non-proficient students):

| In-person | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| Use DIBELS, MCOMP, \& MAP data to <br> identify students and implement targeted <br> small group or 1:1 instruction during general <br> instruction | Use DIBELS, MCOMP, \& MAP data to <br> identify students and implement targeted <br> small group or 1:1 via digital platforms |
| Students can be flexibly moved in and out of <br> intensive courses for extra support as needed | Students can be flexibly moved in and out of <br> intensive courses for extra support as needed |
| Data Driven Instructional Action Planning <br> after all benchmark windows <br> - Identify bubble/target students <br> - Teachers plan and evaluate results <br> from each action plan with supervisor, <br> curriculum coach, and literacy <br> specialist | Data Driven Instructional Action Planning <br> after all benchmark windows <br> - Identify bubble/target students <br> - |
| Teachers plan and evaluate results <br> from each action plan with supervisor, <br> curriculum coach, and literacy <br> specialist |  |

## Chronic Absenteeism:

- Teachers will contact administration and registrar for students with attendance concerns and designated administrator will contact and follow-up with families as needed
- Counselor and Safe School Professional will contact families and provide support as needed
- Support Strategies
- Attendance contracts with students \& parents
- Implement individualized incentives when necessary
- Provides families with research on the impact of absenteeism on learning
- Provide community resources


## 5 Star Timeline

## ANTICIPATED RATINGS TIMELINE

Meeting each of the aforementioned benchmarks will put Somerset Skye Canyon on the following timeline for maintaining 5 Star status:

ELEMENTARY


Index score = 92.2

MIDDLE SCHOOL


Index score = 91.1

## SOMERSET ACADEMY STEPHANIE

WINTER IREADY/MAP.DATA

Reading \% of Students at Grade Level


## Math \% of Students

at Grade Level
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## AGP by Grade

Grades 4-5 Pooled AGP
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## Grades 6-8 Pooled AGP



AGP by Grade
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Grades 6-8 Pooled GAP


## GAP by Grade

100

75
77.7\%

25


## Grades 4-5 AGP

100

75


## Grades 4-5 GAP

75



- At Risk for Tier 3

14\%
( Tier 2
44\%

Tier 1
42\%

Number and Operations (NO)
Algebra and Algebraic Thinking (ALG)
Measurement and Data (MS)

Geometry (GEO)
)



Three or More Grade Levels Below

O
Mid or Above Grade Leve|

201 StudentsEarly On Grade Level

198 Students

$21 \%$

$44 \% \quad 8 \% \quad 7 \%$









Grades 4-5 Pooled AGP
AGP by Grade

50


Grades 6-8 Pooled AGP


AGP by Grade



## GAP by Grade




## Potential TSI Trigger

| Subgroup | N Size ELA <br> Proficiency | ELA <br> Proficiency | N Size Math Proficiency | Math Proficiency | N Size ELA AGP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { AGP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size <br> Math AGP | MATH AGP | N Size ELA GAP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { GAP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math GAP | MATH <br> GAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | 9.0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | n/a |
| Asian | 13 | 76.9 | 14 | 42.8 | 8 | 62.5 | 8 | 55.5 | 3 | 66.6 | 3 | n/a |
| Black | 16 | 12.5 | 16 | 0.0 | 10 | 30.0 | 10 | 30.0 | 7 | 28.5 | 9 | 22.0 |
| Hispanic | 108 | 49.0 | 106 | 19.8 | 71 | 52.1 | 70 | 35.5 | 41 | 43.9 | 50 | 24.0 |
| Multirace | 31 | 54.8 | 30 | 10.0 | 16 | 62.5 | 15 | 20.0 | 9 | 55.5 | 10 | 20.0 |
| Pacific Islander | 9 | 77.7 | 9 | 33.3 | 6 | 83.3 | 6 | 66.6 | 4 | 100.0 | 5 | 80.0 |
| White | 126 | 63.4 | 125 | 32.0 | 90 | 62.2 | 89 | 39.3 | 38 | 52.6 | 47 | 34.0 |
| EL | 15 | 33.3 | 15 | 13.3 | 13 | 50.0 | 12 | 33.3 | 9 | 44.4 | 16 | 25.0 |
| SPED | 51 | 23.5 | 51 | 7.8 | 40 | 32.5 | 40 | 25.0 | 29 | 34.4 | 55 | 21.8 |

*Please be aware, this graph does not include potential TSI triggers for the following groups/categories:
FRL, MGP, WIDA, Chronic Absenteeism, or RBG3



## Potential TSI Trigger

| Subgroup | N Size ELA Proficiency | ELA <br> Proficiency | N Size Math Proficiency | Math <br> Proficiency | N Size ELA AGP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { AGP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math AGP | MATH AGP | N Size ELA GAP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { GAP } \end{aligned}$ | N Size Math GAP | MATH GAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | n/a | 1 | 100.0 |
| Asian | 13 | 84.6 | 13 | 76.9 | 13 | 69.2 | 13 | 69.2 | 4 | 100.0 | 2 | 50.0 |
| Black | 32 | 50.0 | 32 | 6.2 | 32 | 69.5 | 32 | 31.2 | 24 | 66.6 | 29 | 34.4 |
| Hispanic | 114 | 57.0 | 117 | 36.7 | 114 | 59.6 | 117 | 46.1 | 61 | 54.0 | 70 | 37.1 |
| Multirace | 38 | 60.5 | 40 | 32.5 | 38 | 68.4 | 40 | 60.0 | 16 | 56.2 | 25 | 56.0 |
| Pacific Islander | 5 | 80.0 | 5 | 80.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 5 | 60.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| White | 127 | 68.5 | 128 | 43.7 | 127 | 63.7 | 128 | 44.5 | 46 | 67.3 | 61 | 34.4 |
| EL | 17 | 29.4 | 17 | 5.8 | 17 | 52.9 | 17 | 29.4 | 14 | 50.0 | 16 | 25.0 |
| SPED | 59 | 23.7 | 61 | 13.1 | 59 | 44.0 | 61 | 27.8 | 47 | 40.4 | 55 | 21.8 |

*Please be aware, this graph does not include potential TSI triggers for the following groups/categories: FRL, MGP, WIDA, Chronic Absenteeism, Academic Learning Plans, or 8th Grade Sufficiency




## Road to 5 Stars

## SOMERSET STEPHANIE



Prepared by
RUBY NORLAND, PRINCIPAL
\& STEPHANIE ADMINISTRATION

## GOALS



1. To maintain 5 star status in the elementary school
2. To maintain 5 star status in the middle school

## ROAD TO 5 STARS



The destination: 5 star status at Somerset Stephanie at the elementary and middle school levels. The roadmap to our destination is provided in this proposal and is based on analysis of our current status and trajectory. It should be noted that our proposal includes realistic, yet rigorous benchmark goals for the next 5 years but that these goals will need to be revisited each year upon receiving the results of state testing. Updates should also be considered when, and if, there are any dramatic shifts in student population (ex. an enrollment increase). Progress towards goals should be observed through monitoring student interim assessment data (i.e. NWEA Map, iReady, etc.)

## Current Status

Most recent star ratings and state testing performance (2018-2019 school year). A status update will be provided upon analyzing our Fall 2020 Interim assessment data.

## Elementary

## Middle School



What does my school rating mean?
Five-Star school: Recognizes a superior school that exceeds expectations for all students and supgroups on every indictator category cademic performance and growth wah no opportunity gaps. The chool does not fail to meet expectations for any yroup op any indicator.
These schools are recognized for distinguished performance. How are school star ratings determined?
Schools recelve points based on student performance across various Incicators and Meassures These pointst ape totaled and drivided by the
points possible to procuce an index score trom $1 \cdot 100$. This index score is asints possuble to produce an index score from with 3 one-to fivestar school rating.
2018-2019 School Performance


How are star ratings determined based on total index
score?
At or above 27 but less 27 *
At or above 50 and less than 67 , At or above 67 and less than 84

At or above 84 , * * *


Cimate Surver Parricpation is not a poimearning measure.

| School tevet Grade tevels: District <br> school Address: | Middle School <br> OK-OB <br> State Public Charter School Authority <br> 50 N . Stephanie Street <br> Henderson, NV 89074 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |



School Performance History


## 2016-2017 N/A N/A



What does my school rating mean?
Five-Star school: Recognizes a superior school that exceeds
expectations for all students and subgroups on every indicator categor academic performance and growth with no opportunity gaps. The These schoosts are recognized for distingusished performance. How are school star ratings determined?
Schools receive points based on student performance across various
indicators and Measures. These points are totaled and dilided by the
Indicators and Measures. These points are totaled and didded by the
points possible to produce an index $x$ core from 1,100 . This index $s c o r e$
points posssible to produce an index score from 1.100
is associated with a one to five star school rating.
2018-2019 School Performance


How are star ratings determined based on total index core?
At or above 29 but less than 50 , *
At or above 50 and less than 70 * $\#$
At or above 70 and less than 80 * * *



## Academic Achievement

Below are performance benchmark goals for the next 5 years. Proficiency is historically the area that sees the smallest increases, even when coupled with high growth, especially at higher initial proficiency levels.

We do not know definitively what will happen with testing, but the following goals would be based on taking SBAC this Spring. This would mean that academic achievement data would be available but growth/ratings would not be available until 2022.

Next potential rated year

## Elementary ELA

100


## Elementary Math

75


100

75
Math. This is due to early research which anticipates an average academic loss of 1 instructional year for each student as a result of quarantine during the COVID-19 epidemic.

Elementary
Science

## Growth Indicators

Student growth percentiles represent a Somerset student's growth compared to their academic peers across Nevada. The target median should not gradually increase but rather always strive to be greater than or equal to 65.

Student growth indicators require 2 consecutive years of testing so the next likely year where this data would be received for SBAC would be in 2022. To track 2021 progress towards growth goals, interim data would need to be utilized.
= Next potential rated year

## Elementary ELA

61

$$
\text { = } 2019 \text { ELA MGP }
$$

= 2022-2024 ELA MGP Goal

## Elementary Math


$\geq 65=2022-2024$ Math MGP Goal

Adequate Growth Percentiles (AGP) reflects the percentage of students who hit their individual growth targets. Targets are based on either obtaining or maintaing proficiency. The higher the proficiency levels, the lower and more achieveable the targets.

## Elementary ELA

100


## Elementary Math

100


## Closing Opportunity Gaps

The Closing Opportunity Gaps indicator reflects the percentage of non-proficient students hitting their individual growth targets (AGP). Students do not necessarily have to achieve proficiency in order to hit their target, so rigorous improvements can be realistic goals.

## Elementary ELA



EL Proficiency


## Elementary Math




## Student Engagement

6.9\%<br>$=2019$ Chronic Absenteeism \%

$\leq 6 \%=2021 \mathrm{Goal}$
$<5 \%=2022-24 \mathrm{Goal}$

## Academic Achievement

Below are performance benchmark goals for the next 5 years. Proficiency is historically the area that sees the smallest increases, even when coupled with high growth, especially at higher initial proficiency levels.

We do not know definitively what will happen with testing, but the following goals would be based on taking SBAC this Spring. This would mean that academic achievement data would be available but growth/ratings would not be available until 2022.

## Middle School ELA

100


* Though there is a 2 year gap between 2019 \& 2021, a minimal benchmark increase was selected for ELA \& Math. This is due to early research which anticipates an average academic loss of 1 instructional year for each student as a result of quarantine during the COVID-19 epidemic.

Middle School Science

## Middle School Math




## Growth Indicators

Student growth percentiles represent a Somerset student's growth compared to their academic peers across Nevada. The target median should not gradually increase but rather always strive to be greater than or equal to 65.

Student growth indicators require 2 consecutive years of testing so the next likely year where this data would be received for SBAC would be in 2022. To track 2021 progress towards growth goals, interim data would need to be utilized.
= Next potential rated year

## Middle School ELA



= 2019 ELA MGP<br>= 2022-2024 ELA MGP Goal

Middle School Math
$51=2019$ Math MGP
$\geq 65=2022-2024$ Math MGP Goal

Adequate Growth Percentiles (AGP) reflects the percentage of students who hit their individual growth targets. Targets are based on either obtaining or maintaing proficiency. The higher the proficiency levels, the lower and more achieveable the targets.

Middle School ELA

100


Middle School Math

100


## Closing Opportunity Gaps

The Closing Opportunity Gaps indicator reflects the percentage of non-proficient students hitting their individual growth targets (AGP). Students do not necessarily have to achieve proficiency in order to hit their target, so rigorous improvements can be realistic goals.

```
= Next potential rated year
```


## Middle School ELA



## Middle School Math




## Student Engagement

13\%
$=2019$ Chronic Absenteeism \%
$=2021$ Goal
$\leq 7 \%$

## Elementary Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

Below are some of the major instructional implementation strategies for each indicator in the 2020-21 school year:

## Recommended that each strategy have an in-person \&

 online implementation approach:
## Academic Achievement/Growth Median:

1. ELA

| In-person | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using curriculum <br> with integrity <br> - Wonders (K-4) <br> Lucy Calkins (5-6) | Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using digital <br> curriculum with integrity <br> - Wonders (K-4) <br> Lucy Calkins (5-6) |
| Continue on-going training on unwrapping <br> priority standards | Continue on-going training on unwrapping <br> prionity standards |
| Provide training on Wonders \& Lucy Calkins <br> to either introduce the curriculum to new staff <br> or strengthen knowledge of current staff | Provide training on Wonders \& Lucy Calkins <br> online resources to either introduce the <br> curriculum to new staff or strengthen <br> knowledge of current staff |
| Grade levels use common assessments for <br> priority standards | Grade levels use common assessments for <br> priority standards |
| Implement the ELA Framework in all grade <br> levels | Implement the ELA Framework in all grade <br> levels |
|  | Provide professional development on the use <br> of digital resources, programs, apps, and <br> plafforms (Colegia) |

2. Math

| In-person | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using curriculum <br> with integrity <br> - Investigations 3 | Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using digital <br> curriculum with integrity <br> - Investigations 3 |
| Continue on-going training on unwrapping <br> priority standards | Continue on-going training on unwrapping <br> priority standards |
| Provide training on Investigations 3 to either <br> introduce the curriculum to new staff or <br> strengthen knowledge of current staff | Provide training on Investigations 3 online <br> resources to either introduce the curriculum <br> to new staff or strengthen knowledge of <br> current staff |
| Grade levels use common assessments for <br> priority standards | Grade levels use common assessments for <br> priority standards |
| Implement the Math Framework in all grade <br> levels | Implement the Math Framework in all grade <br> levels |
|  | Provide professional development on the use <br> of digital resources, programs, apps, and <br> platforms (Colegia) |

## Elementary Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

| 3. Science |
| :--- |
| In-person Online <br> Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using curriculum <br> with integrity <br> Mystery Science K-4 <br> FOSS -5 Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using digital <br> curriculum with integrity <br> Mystery Science K-4 <br> FOSS - 5 <br> Continue on-going training on NGSS Continue on-going training on NGSS |
| Provide training on Mystery Science \& FOSS <br> to either introduce the curriculum to new staff <br> or strengthen knowledge of current staff |
| Provide training on Mystery Science \& FOSS <br> online resources to either introduce the <br> curriculum to new staff or strengthen <br> knowledge of current staff |
| Grade levels use common assessments for <br> priority standards |
| Grade levels use common assessments for <br> priority standards |
| grade levels |

## Growth (AGP) Differentiated Instruction:

| In-person | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| Daily math and ELA Intervention \& Extension <br> (I/E) blocks (30 minutes each) focused on <br> Tier 2 \& Tier 3 targeted small group <br> instruction using I/E Framework | Daily math and ELA Intervention \& Extension <br> (I/E) blocks (30 minutes each) focused on <br> Tier 2 \& Tier 3 targeted small group <br> instruction using I/E Framework <br> - I will schedule zoom small group <br> instruction when students are <br> attending virtually (teachers provide <br> scripted lessons) <br> - Students complete iReady lesson at <br> their level |
| Read by 3 literary specialists will meet with <br> small groups focused on their RTI/Read by 3 <br> goals | Read by 3 literary specialists will meet with <br> small groups via zoom focused on their <br> RTl/Read by 3 goals |
| Use iReady \& MAP Data to flexibly adjust <br> small group instruction, goals, and groups | Use iReady \& MAP Data to flexibly adjust <br> small group instruction, goals, and groups |
| Explicit Phonics instruction | Explicit Phonics instruction |
| Cohort 1 will train staff in LETRS | Cohort 1 will train staff in LETRS |

## Elementary Implementation Strategies for 2020-21


Closing Opportunity Gaps (Instruction for non-proficient students):

| In-person | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| Use MAP data to identify students and <br> implement targeted small group or 1:1 <br> instruction using strategies mentioned above | Use MAP data to identify students and <br> implement targeted small group or 1:1 <br> instruction using strategies mentioned above |
| Small group instruction using the following <br> programs: Wilson Reading System, Fountas <br> \& Pinnell, Reading Horizons, Kathy <br> Richardson, place value instruction | Small group instruction using the following <br> programs: Wilson Reading System, Fountas <br> \& Pinnell, Reading Horizons, Kathy <br> Richardson, place value instruction |

## CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM

- Counselor and Student Success Advocate contact families and provide support as needed
- Attendance contracts with students \& parents
- Implement individualized incentives when necessary
- Provides families with research on the impact of absenteeism on learning
- Provide community resources
- Regularly update the community about the impacts of absenteeism and the advantages of regular attendance via Weekly Wednesday newsletter and social media platforms


## MS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

## Academic Achievement/Growth Median:

1. ELA

| In-person | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using curriculum <br> with integrity (scaffolding the transition to <br> using 1 curriculum in all MS) <br> Lucy Calkins -6 | Strengthen Tier 1 instruction using digital <br> curriculum with integrity (scaffolding the <br> transition to using 1 curriculum in all MS) |
| Continue on-going training on unwrapping <br> priority standards | Cucy Calkins - <br> Continue on-going training on unwrapping <br> priority standards |
| Provide training on Study Sync \& Lucy <br> Calkins to either introduce the curriculum to <br> new staff or strengthen knowledge of current <br> staff | Provide training on Study Sync \& Lucy <br> Calkins online resources to either introduce <br> the curriculum to new staff or strengthen <br> knowledge of current staff |
| Grade levels use common assessments for <br> priority standards for all classes | Grade levels use common assessments for <br> priority standards for all classes |
| Implement the ELA Framework in all grade <br> levels | Implement the ELA Framework in all grade <br> levels |
|  | Provide professional development on the use <br> lof digital resources, programs, apps, and <br> platforms (Colegia) |

## 2. Math

| In-person | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strengthen Tier 4 <br> with integrity <br> CMP3 | Ilruction using curriculum |
| Strengthen Tier 1 Instruction using digital <br> curriculum with integrity <br> - Mathematics - Algebra I | CMP3 |
| Continue on-going training on unwrapping <br> priority standards | Continue on-going training on unwrapping <br> priority standards |
| Provide training on CMP3 \& Illustrative <br> Mathematics to either introduce the <br> curriculum to new staff or strengthen <br> knowledge of current staff | Provide training on CMP3 \& Illustrative <br> Mathematic online resources to either <br> introduce the curriculum to new staff or <br> strengthen knowledge of current staff |
| Grade levels use common assessments for <br> priority standards for all classes | Grade levels use common assessments for <br> priority standards for all classes |
| Implement the Math Framework in all grade <br> levels | Implement the Math Framework in all grade <br> levels |
|  | Provide professional development on the use <br> of digital resources, programs, apps, and <br> platforms (Colegia) |

## MS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21



## Closing Opportunity Gaps (Instruction for non-proficient students):

| In-person | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| Use MAP data to identify students and <br> implement targeted small group or 1:1 <br> instruction during general instruction | Use MAP data to identify students and <br> implement targeted small group or 1:1 via <br> zoom |
| Students can be flexibly be moved in and out <br> of foundations courses for extra support as <br> needed |  |

## MS Implementation Strategies for 2020-21

## CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM

- Counselor and Student Success Advocate contact families and provide support as needed
- Attendance contracts with students \& parents
- Implement individualized incentives when necessary
- Provides families with research on the impact of absenteeism on learning
- Provide community resources
- Regularly update the community about the impacts of absenteeism and the advantages of regular attendance via Weekly Wednesday newsletter and social media platforms


## 5 Star Timeline

## ANTICIPATED RATINGS TIMELINE

Meeting each of the aforementioned benchmarks will put Somerset Stephanie on the following timeline for maintaining 5 Star status:

ELEMENTARY


MIDDLE SCHOOL


Index score = 86.1

## SOMERSET ACADEMY OF LAS VEGAS

## Supporting Document

Meeting Date: March 6, 2021
Agenda Item: 6 - Discussion Regarding the Fourth Quarter Academic Plan Number of Enclosures: 0

## SUBJECT: Fourth Quarter Academic Plan

X Action

Appointments
Approval
Consent Agenda
Information
Public Hearing
Regular Adoption

| Presenter (s): Board/System Principals |
| :--- |
| Recommendation: |
| Proposed wording for motion/action: |
| Fiscal Impact: N/A |
| Estimated Length of time for consideration (in minutes): |
| Background: Principals will provide updates regarding their campuses 4 <br> models. With the issuance of Directive 038 increasing capacity to 75\% Fire <br> moance <br> Capacity and the SPCSA removing their limits on capacity, all campuses have <br> worked to identify possible options for the 4 <br> th <br> puarter that would increase in <br> person learning. With the remaining 3’ social distancing limitations; available <br> spame rooms remains a concern. A variance request has been submitted <br> to SNHD and the SPCSA for their support in reducing the remaining limitations <br> of distance and capacity. |
| Submitted By: Staff |

# SOMERSET ACADEMY OF LAS VEGAS <br> Supporting Document 

Meeting Date: March 6, 2021
Agenda Item: 7 - Discussion Regarding Academic Impact on Classroom Ratio Number of Enclosures: 0

|  | SUBJECT: Academic Impact on Classroom Ratio |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | X Action |
|  | Appointments |
|  | Approval |
|  | Consent Agenda |
|  | Information |
|  | Public Hearing |
|  | Regular Adoption |


| Presenter (s): Board/System Principals |
| :--- |
| Recommendation: |
| Proposed wording for motion/action: |
| Fiscal Impact: N/A |
| Estimated Length of time for consideration (in minutes): |
| Background: The current teacher student ratio is 26:1 in K-5 and 31:1 in 6-12. <br> An increase of 1 study per teacher over previous years. Academic impact of this <br> ratio is the goal of this discussion. |
| Submitted By: Staff |

# SOMERSET ACADEMY OF LAS VEGAS <br> Supporting Document 

Meeting Date: March 6, 2021
Agenda Item: 8 - Discussion Regarding Somerset Academy Administrative Leadership Structure
Number of Enclosures: 0

## SUBJECT: Administrative Leadership Structure



| Presenter (s): Board |
| :--- |
| Recommendation: |
| Proposed wording for motion/action: |
| Fiscal Impact: N/A |
| Estimated Length of time for consideration (in minutes): |
| Background: Somerset is currently operating with a Lead Principal Structure. At <br> the last planning meeting it was discussed to review after 1 year. |
| Submitted By: Staff |

# SOMERSET ACADEMY OF LAS VEGAS <br> Supporting Document 

| Meeting Date: March 6, 2021 |
| :--- |
| Agenda Item: $9-10$ Year Anniversary Discussion |
| Number of Enclosures: 0 |
|  |



| Presenter (s): Board |
| :--- |
| Recommendation: |
| Proposed wording for motion/action: |
| Fiscal Impact: N/A |
| Estimated Length of time for consideration (in minutes): |
| Background: |
| Submitted By: Staff |


[^0]:    *Please be aware, this graph does not include potential TSI triggers for the following groups/categories:

